



REPORT

Concerning the Retail Distribution and Sale of Coal in Winnipeg

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE OTTAWA

CUERCE PRINTER AND CONTROLLER OF STATIONES OTTAWA, 1880. Ex quais universitates algentagensis



REPORT

CONCERNING THE RETAIL DISTRIBUTION AND SALE
OF COAL IN WINNIPEG

COMBINES INVESTIGATION ACT

Ottawa 1956

- -



C. Rhodes Smith, Q.C., M.A., LL.B., B.C.L. Chairman

Guy Roberge, B.A., LL.L. Member A, S. Whiteley, B.A., M.A. Member



Room 451, Justice Building, Ottawa, January 9, 1956

Honourable Stuart S. Garson, Q.C.,

Minister of Justice, Ottawa, Ontario

Sir:

I have the honour to submit to you herewith the report of the Restrictive Trade Practices Commission dealing with the retail distribution and sale of coal in Winnipeg.

The matter was brought before the Commission by the aubmission of a statement of the evidence obtained in the inquiry by the Director of Investigation and Research under the Combines Investigation Act and has been dealt with in accordance with the previsions of Sections 18 and 19 of the Act.

Evidence and argument in regard to the Statement of Evidence were heard by the Commission at Winsipeg, August 23 to 30, 1994. Messrs. E. D. Honeyman, Q.C., and G. A. MacKey appeared on behalf of the Director of investigation and Research, and Messrs. I. J. R. Descon, Q.C., A. G. Eggertson, Q.C., G. R. Winter, W. Grimble, A. V. Mauro, G. T. Haig and A. G. Findlay appeared on behalf of the several parties mentioned in the Statement of Evidence.

The hearings in this inquiry took place before the appointment of Mr. Guy Roberge to the Commission and he has, therefore, taken no part in the preparation of this report.

Yours faithfully,

(Sgd.) C. R. Smith Chairman

CONTENTS

Chapter I	Introduction	ı
	1. Reference to the Commission	1
	2. Parties Mentioned in the Statement of	
	Evidence	5
	3. Hearings and Witnesses	9
	4. Position Taken by the Parties Named in the	
	Statement of Evidence with Respect to the	
	Allegations Therein	15
Chapter II	The Coal Industry in Canada and the Retail Coal	
	Trade in Greater Winnipeg	21
	1. Resources, Production and Consumption of	
	Coal in Canada	21
	2. The Retail Coal Trade in Greater Winnipeg	23
	(a) Sources of Coal	24
	(b) Consumption of Coal	24
	(c) Consumers of Coal	27
	(d) The Winnipeg Coal Dealers	29
Chapter III	The Winnipeg Coal Exchange	33
	1. Early History	33
	2. Current Membership and Organization	36
	(a) Membership in 1952	36
	(b) The Officers, Board of Directors and	
	Committees	37
	(c) Manager	39
	(d) Meetings and Activities	39
	(e) Sales Position of Members of the	
	Exchange	40
Chapter IV	Early Activities Relating to Trade	41
	I. Price Lists	41
	2. Prices	42
	3. Observance of Prices	44
	4. Co-operation with Wholesalers in Maintaining	
	Prices	46
	5. Allocation of Tenders	47



Chapter V	Exchange Activities in the Post-War Period	51
	Price Guides	51 73 81
Chapter VI	Contracts for Coal let by Tender	83
Chapter VII	Appraisal of Activities Disclosed in the Inquiry	97
	Activities Connected With the Issuing and Use of Price Guides or Price List Guides 2. Activities Connected With Coal Contracts Let by Tender	97 108
Chapter VIII	Conclusions	115



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1. Reference to the Commission

This inquiry, undertaken by the Director of Investigation and Research under the Combines Investigation Act, R.S.C. 1952, Chapter 314, was brought before the Restrictive Trade Practices Commission under Section 18 of the Act, which reads as follows:

- 18 (1) At any stage of an inquiry,
- (a) the Director may, if he is of the opinion that the evidence obtained discloses a situation costrary to section 32 or 34 of this Act, or section 411 or 412 of the Criminal Code, and
- (b) the Director shall, if so required by the Minister, prepare a statement of the evidence obtained in the inquiry which shall be submitted to the Commission and to each person against whom an allegation is made therein.
- (2) Upon recupy of the statement referred to in subsection (1), the Commission shall fix a place, time and date at which argument in support of such statement may be submitted by or on behalf of the Director, and at which such persons against whom an allegation has been made in such statement shall be allowed full opportunity to be heard in person or by shall be allowed full opportunity to be heard in person or by
- (3) The Commission shall, in accordance with this Act, consider the statement submitted by the Director under subsection (1) together with such further or other evidence or material as the Commission considers advisable.
- (4) No report shall be made by the Commission under section 19 or 22 against any person unless such person has been allowed full opportunity to be heard as provided in subsection (2),"
- The Director submitted to the Restrictive Trade Practices Commission, on April 30, 1954, a Statement of Evidence which

contained the following general allegations

- (a) The evidence discusses that during all or part of the period between 1872 and 1973 bit is compared and person named in paragraph 281 foll the blatement of Ex-dencel have been parties or price to or what Promoting an assert paragraph of the Combines Ex-estigation Act, it ampretation to the distribution and said of coal in the Creater Winnings area in the Promise of Navioles. The said combine was price for the said coal at the creater Winnings area in the Promise of Navioles. The said combine was prices for the said of coal at retain, of enhancing the proces of each coal, and of otherwise preventing or tessening competitions and extracting the process of the competition and restanting or report frade or tessening competitions and restanting or report frade or
- by The said combine has operated and as likely to operate to the detriment or against the interest of the spinish, by emanacing prices and depriving the public of the overafts of competition to which by last us estitled effectively are particularly depictionable at the preceding are are particularly depictionable at the preceding of the coal reads to ediffering from the competition of alternative forts such as petroleum products and the trade is therefore in med of a. the difference which an active competition of

The Statement also contained the following more detailed allegations

on Greater Winnipeg.

- (c) The practical activity of the Winnings (Soal Exchange [an association of which many bits not all of the relax coal dealers in the Winnings area were members] since it formation in 1928 has been the compitation and distribution of price uses containing the prices the retail dealers in Greater Winnings were expected to charge their domestic and ordinary steam existences. Since, at least, about any ordinary steam existences. Since it teats, about the price of the p
- (d) The domestic and steam price contained in the price lists were established by agreement made at meeting of the Exchange members or of the Execute and Directors of the Exchange During the period of watture price controls such prices were the minimum prices permitted by the way to be a such price where the minimum prices permitted by the way and the prices were the minimum prices permitted by the way and the prices where who there is not to be a such as the prices of the Exchange instituted a scheme whereby a committee, known as the Prices Committee', conducted a

periodic survey of the handling costs of a few of the dealers and worked out an 'average' cost-of-handling figure. This figure was submitted by the committee to the Executive and Directors for approval, after which it was acomporated by the Manager of the Exchange in a pric no formula which had been established by agreement The pricing formula was made up of the cost of handling figure, charges for degradation and loss of weight, and an amount des sued to sive 4 per cent nel profit on sales. To arrive at the selling prices shown in the price lists the formula was added to the cost of the coa laid down in Winnipeg. The resultant prices were then listed in the Price Guides which were distributed by the Manager of the Exchange. The other members of the Exchange were kent informed either by circulars distributed by the Manager or at meetings, of the activities of the Price

- (e) The prices contained in the Price Gu des applied to most decreasing and steam sales made by the dealers. Exception of the price of the price
 - m The evidence discloses that, apart from deviations which were the subject of agreements, there were few deviations from the Price Guider in sales to domestic and steam accounts. At least 85 per cent of sales to domestic accounts were according to the Domestic Price Guides. Deviations from the Steam Price Guides apart from those agreed upon, appear to have been more numerous in the case of a few of the dealers, but were not sufficiently widespread to threaten the price structure or to require downward revisions of the Steam Price Guides. It is clear from the evidence that with the Price Guides the Winnipeg Coal Exchange has succeeded in establishing and maintaining a firm price structure, and that anart from agreed upon discounts any differences from the Price Guides were in the nature of deviations from established practice.
- (g) The activities and agreements connected with the preparation and distribution of the Price Guides were detrimental to the public interest in that they were carried out with the object of raising the level of prices of coal sold at

result in Greater Winnings and were aimed at and succeeded in establishing an artificial price structure where was the brian of prices to invasional and steam actional exempt that price competitions wan not indispled to by the dealers. The fact that dealers were enorstones told thay againticance. Other evidence includes they were aspected to be low-med, and in fact were followed by all the dealers. The fact of the second of the second of the contraction of the second of the second of the second to be low-med, and in fact were followed by all the dealers. The fact-hape were based on accusate only surveys, the evidence shows that the formulae established contained artificial processing and the second of the second of the evidence shows that the formulae established contained artificial contained and accusal

- dela That portion of retail sales which was not governed by the Price Guides consisted of tender or contract business. most of which was obtained by members of the Exchange. With regard to the bulk of this business, a group of members of the Exchange, known as the "tender" or "steam group", operated an allocation scheme, whereby the available tonnage was divided among the members of the group according to the sales volume of each member. When tenders for a specific contract were called for the group held a meeting or otherwise determined what dealer or dealers should be the low tenderer or tenderers. The latter than told the Manager of the Exchange what prices they intended to quote and he gave this information to the other members who, if they quoted, quoted higher prices, The allocation scheme had been in operation since 1946, although prices to be quoted up contracts had been discussed at meetings of the Exchange for many years prior to that time
- (i) A number of contracts unvolving a comparatively small total tomage were not included in the allocation and emisally an experience of the contract of the contract of the and were left open to insulint realizer who were not in the group. Other contracts for larger quantities became available from time to time and the evidence midicate that with regard to all least some of these, agreements were made by the immelser on the prices to be quoted.
- (j) Two dealers Swail and Turk who were considered by the group to be members stated they did not take part in the scheme. Other evidence shows that, although not always co-operative, they did take part to some extent at least.

sk! It appeared from the evidence that the purpore and effect of the nederal elication extense, which covered more than 85 per cent of the available contract business, was to deproy purchasers of competitive quotation and the evidence of the evidence of the evidence of the times were in fact being made. Such a scheme was designed to destroy the vary object of purchasing by tender, besides misleading the public, It is the kind of scheme which has been described by the cruster as not only col-

2 Parties Mentioned in the Statement of Evidence

In paragraph 321 of the Statement of Evidence it is alleged that the following persons, firms and corporations were the principal parties to the formation or operation of the said combine.

D E. Adams Coal Ltd.,

Riverton Avenue and Stadacona Street, Winnipeg. Manitoba

Alaip Br.ck, Tile and Lumber Co. Ltd., 508 Portage Avenue, Winnings, Manitoba

Harry Mitchell, doing business under the firm name of Banning Fuel Company, 779 Erin Street,

Winnipeg, Man.toba

John Bever.ey, doing business under the firm name of Beverley Fuel Supp.y, Scotland and Wentworth, Winnipeg, Manitoba

Bra.d Builders' Supply and Fuel Limited, Water Avenue.

Winnipeg, Manitoba

Capital Coal Company Limited, Pembina Highway at Mulvey Avenue, Winnings, Manitoba

City Coal Company (Winnipeg) Limited, 124 Annabella Street, Winnipeg, Manitoba Claydon Company Limited. 290 Garry Street. Winnipeg Manitoba

Coal Sales (Canadian) Co. Ltd.. 350 des Meurons Street.

St. Rossface. Man toba

Cook Fuel & Lumber Co. Ltd.. 34. Princess Street. Winnipeg. Manitoba

Ferry Nepon and Max Faiman, doing business under the firm name of

Crown Fuel Co . 570 Pembina Highway. Winnipeg, Manitoba

The Dominion Lumber & Fuel Co., Ltd.,

567 Redwood Avenue. Winnipeg Manitoba

Federal Grain Limited, carrying on business under the name of Fort Rouge Coal Company, 809 Grain Exchange Building,

Winnipeg, Manitoba Globe Fuel Co-Operative Limited. 800 Dufferm Avenue. Winnspeg, Manitoba

Hasbors Fuel Limited. 519 Logan Avenue. Winnipeg, Manitoba

John Halliday, doing buginess under the firm name of Halliday Coal Co. .

935 Erm Street. Winnipeg, Manitoba

Harstone Coal Company Ltd. . Stradbrooke and Clarke Streets. Winnipeg, Manitoba

Governor and Company of Adventurers of England Trading Into Hudson's Bay.

Hudson's Bay House. 79 Main Street,

Winnipeg, Man.toba

Robert Menderson Irvine and William Irvine, doing business under the firm name of John Irvine & Sons,

1865 Portage Avenue, St James Manitoba

Thos. Jackson & Sons, Limited, 370 Colony Street, Winnipeg, Manitoba

D. N Jam.eson & Son Ltd., 791 3 Erm Street, Winn.peg, Manitoba

Jubilee Coal Co., Ltd., 236 Osborne Street, Winnipeg, Manutoba

Gerard Arnaud, doing business under the firm name of Lambert Fuel Co., 45 Notre Dame East, Winnions Manitoba

McCardy Supply Company Limited, Sargent and Erm Streets, Winnipes, Manitoba

Joseph S Miller, doing business under the firm name of

J. S. Miller Coal Co., 280 Osborne Street, Winn.peg, Man.toba

Moore s Cosl & Supply Ltd., Stradbrooke and Clarke Streets, Winnings, Manitoba

Northland Coal and Ice Co., Ltd., 300 Pembina Highway. Winnipeg, Manifoba

North Winnipeg Co-Operative Limited, 1042 Selkirk Avenue, Winnipeg, Manitoba

People's Co-Operative Limited, 610 Dufferm Avenue, Winnipeg, Manitoba H S Cormack, doing business under the firm name of Proneer Fuel & Supply, 986 Wall Street.

Winnipeg, Manitoba

Samuel Simkin and Saul Simkin doing business under the firm name of Simkin 6 Fuel Company,

Andrews and Jarvis Streets, Winnipeg, Manitoba

Roy Swall Ltd., 589 Portage Avenue.

Winnipeg, Manitoba

Thompson Lumber & Fuel Ltd.,
340 des Meurons Street.

St. Boniface, Manifoba

The Toupin Lumber & Fuel Company Limited,

188 Bertrand Street, St. Bonsface, Manitoba

Alexander Turk, doing business under the firm name of Alex. Turk Fuel, 271 Gordon Avenue.

Winnings, Manitoba

Benjamin Rosenblat and Edward Rosenblat, doing business under the firm name of

Union Fuel & Builders' Supply Co., Stradbrooke and Glarke Streets, Winnings, Manitoba

Windatt Coal Company Limited, 508 Paris Building, Winnipes, Mantopa

The Winnipeg Supply & Fuel Company Lumited, 8th Floor, Boyd Building, Winnipeg, Manitoba

Ruben Wolfman, Sam Wolfman and Harry Wolfman, doing business under the firm name of Wolfman Finel.

460 Jarvis Avenue, Winninge, Manitoba

Arthur Harold Brett, 518 Avenue Building, Winnings, Manutoba

3. Hearings and Witnesses

On application by the Director of investigation and Research, hearing for the shaining of Studence in the inquiry were held before Mr. Gyr Savreau, a Minmber of the Restrictive Trade shall be shaining the control of the State of the State

 Manager, The Red River Co-Operative Supply Ltd.

- Vice-President, McDonald-Dure Lumber Company Ltd.

- Owner, North End Fuel Company

Donald Hector MacLean.

Maurice E. McDonald.

Michael Bozyneki.

Gordon Wallace Leckie.	- Director of Co-operative Education, Manitoba Division of Agricultural Co-operation, President, Red River Co- Operative Supply Ltd.
Archie Charles Chick,	- Manager, Chick Lumber and Fu Company
David Dalenger,	- Co-Owner, J. Dalenger Coal & Wood
Samuel Feldstein,	- Owner, Feld's Fuel
Peter Rog.	- Owner, Power Goal, Wood and Lumber Go.
Samuel Mazerovsky,	- Vice-President, H. Mazerovsky & Sons Ltd.
Max Reiner,	- Owner, Reiner's Fuel Co.

W. Gordon Welwood, - Pres.dent, F. J. Welwood & Co.
Ltd,

Michael Kopyneky, - Manager, Consumers' CoOperative, U.C.F. Ltd.

Michael Wozniak, - Manager, Washington Fuel

- Manager, Globe Fuel Co-Operative Limited

Albert Shambrock.

т. G

Myron Kostaniuk.

Fred de Sieyes,	 President and Manager, Coal Sales (Canadian) Co. Ltd.
Harry Mutchell,	- Owner, Banning Fue. Company
John Hallday,	- Owner, Halliday Coal Co.
Henry De Lesuw,	 Secretary Treasurer and Manager, De Leeuw Lumber and Fuel Company Lumited
John Waster Jamieson,	- Secretary Treasurer, D. N. Jam.eson & Son Ltd

Morris Yanovsky. - Manager, Superior Coal & Wood George Alexander Porterfield- Accountant and Credit Manager.

	Alorp Brick, Tile and Lumber Co Limited
Wilfred R. Kell,	 m charge of Coal Department, Alaip Brick, Tile and Lumber Co. Lumited

Alsip Brick, Tile and Lumber Co. Lumited
 Secretary Treasurer, Braid Builders' Supply and Fuel Limite

illiam Gordon Braid,	 Secretary Treasurer, Braid Builders' Supply and Fuel Limited
eorge Alexander MacKay,	- Combines Investigation Officer
dward Cook.	- Owner, Cook Fuel & Lumber Co.

Edward Cook,	- Owner, Cook Fuel & Lumber Co. Ltd.
Ernest Claydon,	- Vice-President, Claydon Company

Ernest Claydon,	- Vice-President, Claydon Company Limited
Edmond Hector McNeil,	- Partner, H. J. McNeil Fuel
Leonard J. O'Brien.	- Manager of Coal Department

dmond Hector McNeil,	- Partner, H. J. McNeil Fuel
eonard J. O'Brien,	 Manager of Coal Department, Searle Grain Company Limited
len Marcellus Cowles,	- Officer in Coal Department, Searle Grain Company Limited

- Manager of Fuel Yard Department,

People's Co-Operative Limited

 Vice-President and General Manager, Thompson Lumber & Fuel Ltd

- Owner, T. P. Carver & Son

- Owner, Beverley Fuel Supply

- Co-Owner, Simkm's Fuel Company

 Manager, Fort Rouge Coal Company (operated by Federal Grain Limited)

Vice-President and Manager,
 Jubilee Coal Co., Ltd.

darry Lorne Thompson.

Thomas Sidney Carver.

John Beverley,

Saul Samkin.

James Mowat,

Harold P. Irving.

Tozenh Alexandre Bernard

- Managing Director, The Toupin Lumber & Fuel Company Limited
- Manager, Winnipeg Coal Exchange
- Purchaeing Agent, Winnipeg School Board
 Manager of Retail Fuel Sales, The Winnipeg Supply & Fuel Company Limited
- Manager, Thos Jackson & Sons, Limited
- Manager of the Coal & Wood Department, Governor and Company of Adventurers of England Trading into Mudeon's Bay
V:ce-President and Sales Manager, Capital Coal Company Limited
- Go-Owner, John Irvine & Sons
- Owner, J. S. Miller Coal Co.
- President and Manager, North- land Coal and Ice Co., Ltd.

- President, Harstone Coal Company Ltd.

Benjamin Rosenbiat,

	President, Moore's Coal & Supply Ltd. Co-Owner, Union Fuel & Buildere' Supply Co
Ernset M. Rose,	- Manager, Haretone Coal Company Ltd,
Alexander Turk,	- Owner, Alex, Turk Fuel
Roy Swall,	- President, Roy Swail Ltd.
Sam Wolfman,	- Co-Owner, Wolfman Fuel
Percival G. Hawkins.	 Sales Manager, McCurdy Suppl Company Limited
Jerry Nepon,	- Co-Owner and Manager, Crown Fuel Co.

Michael A. Mitenko. - Manager and Secretary, D. E. Adams Cost Ltd. Limel Elmer Wood. - Vice-President, D. D. Wood & Sons Limited

Gerard Arnaud, - Owner, Lambert Fuel Co. Witham Safian. - Manager of Fuel Yard, North

Winniper Co-Operative Limited Pierre Raimbault. - President and Manager, The Cusson Lumber Company Limited - President and Manager, The Dominion Lumber and Fuel Co.,

Max Margolis. 1.44 - Owner, Fort Garry Fuel Company Frank Tarko.

- Officer, Alex, Turk Fuel Charles George MacKay. Hugh Wallace, - Secretary-Treasurer, Windatt

Goal Company Lunited

The Winnipeg Supply & Fuel Company Limited

- President and General Manager, Alexander Robertson,

E. A.bin Hagborg, - Managing Director (and President), Hagborg Fuel Limited

Thomas A. Duncan,

George H. Coleman, - Owner, G Coleman

Harry S Cormack. - Owner, Pioneer Fuel & Supply

Aaron Green, - Owner, Manitoba Fuel Company

Joseph A. Hutchison, - Director Palace Fuel & Supply Limited

John L. Kerr, Jr , - President, Palace Fuel & Supply Limited

Peter Beleki, - Owner, Wee-Brook Coal Co.

Harry Rice, - Go-Owner, Unseda Coal Co.

Morris Schwartzman, - Owner, Reliable Lumber & Fue.

Clarence Thomas Baker, - Owner, Sargent Fuel

In accordance with Section 18(1) of the Combines Investigation Act, the Statement of Evidence was submitted to the Restrictive Trade Practices Commission and also to each of the persons against whom an allegation was made therein. The Commission by an Order dated June 3, 1954, fixed Monday, August 23, 1954, at 10 o'clock in the forengon, at the Law Courte Building, Kennedy and Broadway, in the City of Winnipeg, as the place, time and date, at which argument in support of the Statement of Evidence might be submitted, and at which persons against whom any allegation had been made in such statement would be allowed full opportunity to be heard in person or by counses, the whole in compliance with Section 18(2) of the Act. In giving notice thereof, the Commission further informed the parties that at such hearing they would have the opportunity of further examining, or cross-examining, any witness who had been heard during the course of the inquiry or of having called any additional witnesses or of submitting any additional documentary evidence.

The hearing opened at the Law Courts Building in Winnipeg, on August 23, 1954, and concluded on August 30, 1954. The following appearances were registered.

E. D. Honeyman, Esq., Q.C., and G. A. MacKay, Esq.,

⁻ For Director of Investigation and Research.

G. R. Hunter, Esq., and W. Grimble, Esq.,

- For Winnipeg Coal Exchange, for Mr A., H. Brett, as Manager of the Winnipeg Coal Exchange and in his personal capacity, for all imembers of the Winnipeg Coal Exchange not otherwise represented by their own counsel, for the members of the so-called "Tender Group" not otherwise represented.

A, G, Eggertson, Esq., Q.C., and

A, V. Mauro, Esq.,

 For the Winnipeg Supply & Fuel Company Limited, and The Tourn Lumber & Fuel Company Limited,
 For Thos. Jackson & Sons.

G. T. Waig, Esq.,

A. G. Findlay, Esq.,

· For Hudson's Bay Company,
· For Federal Grain Limited.

Limited.

I. J. R. Deacon, Esq., Q.C.,

carrying on business under the name of Fort Rouge Coal Company,

were received and the following witnesses were examined

Arthur Harold Brett, - Manager of the Winnipeg Coal

In the course of the hearings certain documentary exhibits

Arthur Harold Bret Douglas G, Scott,

Exchange,

- Chartered Accountant and
partner in the firm of Sharp,
Woodley, Scott and

Gilbert Edward Jackson

McLaughlin,
- Consulting Economist, Toronto,
Ontario:

John Small Noble,

- Secretary and Treasurer, Carnegie Dock and Fuel Company, of Minneapolis, Minneanta. Raymond A W. Vidler,

 Manager of retai, fuel sales, The Winnipeg Supply & Fue. Company Lim.ted,

Edward Holmes Munro,

- Manager of the Hudson's Bay Company's retail coal depart-

For purposes of clarity, reference in the report to evidence given a thearings for the taking of evidence before the Chairman or Mr. Favreau will be made as follows: "Transcript of Evidence, p.,.".". Reference to evidence given at the hearings before the Commission ws... be made as follows: "Transcript of Hearing, P.

4 Position Taken by the Parties Named in the Statement of Evidence with Respect to the Allegations Therein

The parties named in the Statement of Ervidence had been unformed by the Commission (in a later date 2 - ins. 4), 1945 that, at the open-ing of the hearing, before any evidence or argument was revite to provide the parties that the parties that with report to the distances of Evidence, in particular, whither sees evoid to taken on the facts committed in the each Statement of Evidence and, if no, in what facts, and whether sees form of alligations of insuconduct and, if no, in what facts, and whether sees form of alligations, and had proportions or conceivance would be administed and appropriately and the conceivance would be administed and the second of the commission of the second of the conceivance would be administed as the second of the commission of the parties of the Commission of the commission of the bearing, the second of the commission of the bearing.

Before the commencement of the nearing the Commission received written submissions from Alsip Brick, Tile and Lumber Co. Ltd., and Halliday Coal Co. After the hearing the Commission received a written brief from People's Co-Operative Limited.

The main points on which issue was taken in written submissions and briefs received by the Commission, and in general statements made by counsel for the parties amount at the opening of the hearing may be outlined substantially as follows for the respective

 Counsel for all parties contended that the allegations or charges set forth in the Statement of Evidence were not supported by the evidence, and stated it was the intention of the parties to call other evidence to rebut these allegations.

- (2) Counsel for all parties contended that a very clear line of distinction and demarcation should be drawn between the Winnipeg Coal Exchange, its Manager, and its member dealers on the one hand, and on the other hand, certain coal dealers and Mr. A. H. Brett, in his personal capacity, who were alleged to have operated or controlled or worked through what the Statement of Evidence called 'the tender eroun", which was alleged to have administered an allocation scheme' It was contended that the Winnipee Coal Exchange, as such, should not be confused in any way with the allocation scheme or tender group and that those dealer members of the Winnipeg Coa. Exchange who were not in the so-called allocation or tender group had nothing to do with it, and that their position should be considered completely separate and apart from it
- (3) Counsel for all parties denied that, during all or part of the period between 1728 and 1933, the companies and persons against whom alliquations were made in the Statement of Evidence were parties on priviy to this knowingly and the period of the period of the period of the period the meaning of the Combinese (resettation Act, lawing relation to the distribution and sale of coal in the Greater Winnese area in the Province of Mantoba.
 - (4) Counsel for all parties denied that the activities of the Winnipeg Goal Exchange, and any activities of the coal dealers in Winnipeg, had operated to the detriment or against the interest of the public by enhancing prices and depriving the public of the obsettle of competition to which.
- (5) It was admixed by conseel that there was an organization known as the Wimping God. Exchange and that one of its functions was the preparation and publication of lists of prices belows. If Price Glodes, but it was not admixed that main or principal parpose of the Exchange. It was not admitted that these Price Guides were established by agreements or arrangements made at mostings of members of the control of the prices of the p
- (6) It was admitted by counsel that those dealers who were named as belonging to the so-called "tender group" met on various occasions for the purpose of discussing the allocation of tender contracts. But it was deviced that the object.

of the allocation retheme, or tender scheme, was to arrive at arrangements or agreements with regard to fixing prices, enhancing prices, leasening competition, or restraining trade and commerce. It was contended that the Winnippe Goal Exchange, its Manager, and its member dealers had no part, as such, in any allocation scheme such as that referred to by the Director.

- (2) Counsel contended that the last of the parties named in the Statement of Evidence and having been parties or pray or having knowingly assisted in the formation or operation of a combine thould not be restricted to those only who were in humans and members of the Exchange in 1952, but should include also those who, some time during the period of 1923 to 1953, were members of the Winnings Coal Exchange and who had since gone out of basness. It
 - was also contended that the Red River Co-Operative Supply Lid and M. McMahon, both still in business at the time of the inquiry should have been included among the parties named in the Statement of Evidence, (Note The Red River Co-Operative Supply Lid dropped its memberthip in the Winn,peg Coal Exchange in 1952. According to a relatin made by Mirs. McMahon, M. McMahon became a member of the Exchange in 1950.
- (8) Counsel contended that in recent years coal in Winnipeg had not been losing ground in competition with oil, as heavily as suggested by the Statement of Evidence
- (9) Counsel denset that there was any arrangement or agreement among the members of the Exchange regarding practice arrangement among the members of the Exchange regarding the was a first off the there was no agreement, there was a practice regarding these particular types of business and the particular business outside the particular types of business and the particular business outside the particular business outside the particular business outside the particular business of the particular business.
- (10) Counsel for the Fort Rouge Goal Company [Federal Grain. Limited] contended that the Statement of Evidence preaented by the Director was not a true picture of the evidence, but a price—meal compilation of certain bits of evidence from which he had drawn conclusions not justified by the evidence as a whole.
- (11) Counsel for the Yudson's Bay Company contended that the widence datclosed that it was not a member of the Winnipeg Cost Eachange, consequently its position was satisfied different from that of members of the Exchange. Hudson's Bay Company had nothing to do with the ro-called 'tender groups'.

- (12) Counsel for Thos. Jackson & Sons. Limited contended that the allegations made against them were not supported by fact, and that the facts stated in support of these conclusions had not taken place
- (13) Counsel for the W.nnipeg Sapply & Fuel Company Limited and The Foupin Lumber & Fiel Company Limited, contended that the activities of the Winnipeg Coal Excharge were not primarily directed to fixing prices but were intended for broader sale purposes, including publicity.
- (14) On the part of Alsip Br.ck, Tile and Lumber Co. Ltd., in written briefs submitted prior to the hearing, it was contended that.
 - (a) The Winnipeg Coal Exchange as a trade association has benefited the public in stying to pit the retail business on a good honest footing. The customer can now be served of a first feed lat a fair price, some thing greatly lacking in former years. The Exchange was helpful in many ways to the coal dealers, particularly to those small dealers where the coal business is an adjoinct of a much larger business.
 - (b) The Price Guider assued by the Exchange were in fact guides only. No compulsion was ever put on the company, by anyone connected with the Exchange, to adhere to these prices Much of the company's business (in the year 1951-1954 almost 49%) was done at prices below those shown on the Price Guides.
 - (c) The company was entirely unaware of the bidding and alloting of steam coal contracts by a few of the coal dealers in Winnipeg. The company was never represented at such meetings and never bid on any of such contracts.
 - (d) The company was rarely represented at meetings of the Exchange, except the annual meetings, where little if any business was even discussed.
 - (15) On the part of Halliday Coal Co., in a written brief submitted prior to the hearing, it was contended that,
 - (a) The firm did not take any active part in the Winnipeg Goal Exchange, had not attended any meeting in years, and was under no agreement with anyone to rell coal at any certain price.
 - (b) The ceiling price was fixed for the firm by the advertised price of large dealers handling the same kinds of

coal. The firm's margin of profit (about 86¢ per ton net during the eight years ending June 30, 1953, without counting any salary for the owner or any interest on the investment) was too smal, to permit sales at lower prices.

- (16) On the part of the People's Co-Operative Limited, in a written brief submitted after the hearings, it was contended that
 - (a) People's Co-Operative Limited joined the Winnipeg Coal Exchange in 1943, during the war period, when it was difficult to obtain an adequate supply and variety of coal
 - (b) Jossing the Goal Exchange had no bearing upon the company's policy regarding the rae price of coal. The company's policy has been to sell coa. at the prevaling prices and pay a patrenage dividend at the end of the fuscal year, based on the net surplus for the year. In addition to patronage dividend on fuel purchases, there has been a dividend on share capital padt to the members.
 - (e) The Price Lat Guides were sent by the Exchange to all coal dealers, irrespective of membership in the Goal Exchange, and were not mandatory or obligatory upon the company.
 - (d) The company was not aware of the practices on the part of some confederar connected with the res-called 'tender group', as these matters were never discussed at any of the amount meeting of the Coal Exchange at the first time the company was made aware of these activates was through the study of the Statement of Evidence. The company was never a party to any agreement or discussion regarding indeer on fine. Following the study of the Statement of Evidence, and from the Winners Coal Exchange, company resigned from the Winners Coal Exchange.
 - (e) Considering the above, People's Co-Operative Limited should be withdrawn from the list of the parties named in the Statement of Evidence



CHAPTER II

THE COAL INDUSTRY IN CANADA AND THE RETAIL COAL TRADE IN GREATER WINNIPEG

1 Resources, Production and Consumption of Coal in Canada

It is well known that the total coal resources of Canada are very great. In the report of the Royal Commission on Coa., rendered in 1946, this country's coal resources were estimated at about 99,000 million tons of mmeable coal, of which it was estimated that about 50% or 49,000 multion tone might actually be recovered and brought to the surface. Based upon the current leve, of production of coal these resources were estimated as sufficient to allow for continued mining for more than 2, 700 years

Canadian coal denosits are distributed very unevenly across the country According to the same Commission's report the country's reserves are distributed among the various provinces as follows Alberta - about 48%. Saskatchewan - about 24%. Brut.th Columbia about 19% the Yukon and Northwest Territories slightly less than 5%. Nova Scotia - a little over 3%. New Brunswick has some comparatively small deposits and the other provinces have Little or no coal.

It will be observed from this estimate that the great bulk of Canada's coal resources as satuated in the three Western provinces. Saskatchewan, Alberta and British Columbia, which between them possess almost 92% of the total reserves of the country.

Canadian coal consists mainly of Jenite, sub-hituminous and bituminous. Anthracite or hard coal is almost completely lacking.

Actual coal production in Canada is confined in the east mainly to Nova Scotia, with New Brunswick producing in much smaller volume, and in the west to Saskatchewan, Alberta and British Columbia, Since no coal is produced in Central Canada, the most industrialized parts of Canada rely on unported coal, partly from other provinces. but mainly from the United States For example, in 1952, Quebec imported from abroad almost three quarters of the coal which it consumed and Ontario imported more coal than was produced to the whole of Canada.

In the year 1952, the total output of the coal mines of Canada was 17,579,002 tone, of which about 6 6 million tone were produced in the Maritime provinces and about 10 9 million tone in the v 21 v

Western provinces (Saskatchewan 2 1 m.) Lon tone Alberta 7 2. British Columbia (b) Imports in the same year amounted to 24,932 853 tons, and exports amounted to 388,960 tons. The total coal available for consumption was 42,122,895 tons. It seems that not pute al. of these 42 million odd tons were actually consumed, in that the Statement of Evidence says that actual consumpt on of coal in 1952 throughout Canada was about 41 million tons.

The coal and core statistics published by the Dominion Bureau of Statistics give the following figures for coal production in Canada for the years 1945 to 1954 inclusive

Production of Coa. in Canada

1945 - 16,506,713 tone

194h . 17 SIL 747 P

1947 - 15.858.866 "

1948 - 18.449 689 "

- 19,120,046 1949

1950 - 19,139,112 - 18,586,823

1052 ~ 17,579,00Z

1951

1953 . 16.900 673

1054 - 14.906.049

From these figures it appears that coal production in Canada reached its peak in 1950, and since then has been receding The production figures for 1954 show a reduction of more than 4,200,000 tons from the peak reached in 1950, substantially more than 20%. The Statement of Evidence indicates that high mining and transportation costs, competition from petroleum products and such fortuitous circumstances as strikes and unusually mild winters have contributed to the lower trend in coal production.

Exports of Canadian coal have never been very large, This is scarcely surprising when we consider that Canadian mines produce considerably less than half the coal consumed in Canada. Looking at the period from 1945 to 1954, we find that for the first four years of the period, 1945 to 1948, exports of Canadian coal ranged from a low in 1947 of 714,549 tons to a high in 1948 of 1,273,262 tons. The year 1948 was quite exceptional, due to unusually large shipments of coal to Japan. In no year since 1948 have exports reached the figure of 800,000 tone. Since 195,, the trend has been stead by downward weis, in 1954 exports f= to 2 \times 340 tone, the lowest point in many years,

As already mentioned, Canada amonth contactably more than half of the tota coal which is consumed, to the country. Some coal is imported from Great Britain and a text ton from other countries, but the great bulk of it downs from the United States. The import figures, derived from tables pub inted by the Domision Bureau of Statistics, are as follows for the years 1945 to 1954.

Imported Foreign Coal

Year	United States	Great Br tum	Other Countries tons	Total tons
1945	25,033,168	28 388	-	25,061,556
1946	26,005 019	101,580	-	26,106,599
1947	28,838,717	52,777	436	28,891,930
1948	30,711,348	162,550	24	30,873,912
1949	21,863,736	331,457	17	22,195,210
1950	26,530,649	423,874	300	26,954,823
1951	26,509,695	291,656	54	26,801,405
1952	24,576,821	356,032	-	24,932,853
1953	22,913,158	352,383	-	23,265,541
1954	18,312,895	266,304	790	18,579,989

United States coals entered Canada under very favourable tariff conditions. Under customs sariff times 586, 587, 588 and 588b, we find that since 1948 anthracite and lignite coal and coke coming from the United States have been on the free sist and that other coals have been subject to a tariff of 506 per ton.

2 The Retail Coal Frade in Greater Winnipeg

This inquiry is concerned with the retail sale of coal in Greater Winnipeg, which, as described in the Statement of Evidence, means the cities of Winnipeg and St. Boniface, the towns of Transcona and Tuxedo, and the munic palities of Assimiboia, Brookianus, Charleswood, East Kildonan, Fort Garry, North Kildonan, St. Charles, St. James, St. Vital and West Kildonan

(a) Sources of Coal

"Coal", in so far as it is used in connection with the activities of the Winnipeg dealers, is meant to include coxe and bri mettes.

The coal consumed in the Greater Winnipeg area comes from the three provinces west of Manitoba, or from the United States of America. Most of the Canadian coal consumed in Winnings comes from Saskatchewan or A.berta, with British Columbia supplying lesser quantities. The Saskatchewan mines supply only penite coal, while the coar coming from Alberta is either bituminous or sub-bituminous, and that supplied by British Columbia is bitaminous. The lignite coal from Saskatchewan is often mixed with h.t.minous coals from Alberts or British Co umbia or from the United States, thus producing a composite coas of higher quasity. Fairly substantia, quantities of coal are imported from the United States for consumption in the Winnipeg area This American coal consists largely of stoker coals and briquetter. No lignite, and only small quantities of anthracite and coke, are imported from the United States into the Winnipes area. Shipments of American coal to Greater Winnipeg are usually made from docks in Fort William or Duloth.

Cold featers in Winnings governance coal through wholesalers or mine against who operate in the city checkly as brokers. However, while coal is purchased in the way it is usually shipped to the declare after of the control of the coal of the

In 1952, three of the retail dealers concerned in this inquiry, namely Thos. Jackson & Sons, Limited. The Winnipeg Supply & Fuel Company Limited, and Windatt Coal Company Limited also operated wholesale departments.

(b) Consumption of Coal

In 1952 coal sold at retail in Greater Winnipeg, as reported by the Dominion Bureau of Statistics, was equivalent to about 6-1/2% of all coal sold at retail in Canada and about 34% of that sold at retail in the Western provinces, to about 4% of al. coal mined in Canada, and about 5% of that mined in the Western provinces.

The following table shows the total sales in tons by dealers of coal and coke (including briquettes) in the Greater Winnipeg area since 1942, as reported by the Dominion Bureau of Statistics

Retail Coal Sales in Greater Winnipeg

Year	Western Canad.an Coais*	U. S. Coals	Other Imported Coals	Total
1942	541,327	60,808	503	602,638
1943	547,219	156,760	203	704,183
1944	470,523	102,220	40	572,783
1945	517,672	116,288	15	633,875
1946	569,048	132,314		701,362
1947	583,060	153,121	-	736,181
1948	630,634	135,048	*	765,682
1949	708,625	129,251		837,876
1950	780,329	117,557		897,886
1951	702,656	106,140	-	808,796
1952	599,891	91,484	-	691,375
1953	550,220	90,682	-	640,902
1954	497,639	93,235	-	590,874

* includes cake produced in Winnipeg

The above figures show that the consumption of con, in the Winnipeg area reached it speak in 1959 and since then has been standily receding. In the opinion of the Director, based on evidence obtained in 1984, the decrease in sales in 1981 and 1982 was partially due to coal users converting to oil and also to amountly mild winters, it it includ be noted that the trend continued through 1955 and 1984, in which latter year the reduction in sales amounted to more than a found from the high point in 1990. In 1941, a tre City of Winnipeg, coal was the principal behing feel in 75.% of the occupied overlings, in 195, its processor tion had not later to 65.7%. The corresponding figure for the Greater Winnipeg graza for x-10 way 53.8% of occupied on integrit work was the highest of any metropolities area reported to the control of the contr

Os vas the principal heating fuel in 1% of the occupied dwellings in 1941 and in 9 2% in 1991. (The corresponding figure in 1991 for Greater Winnipeg was 12%).

During the decade of 1941-1951, the position of both roal and on improved with Wilmings area at the expense of wood. According to the Partement of Evidence, wood became a negospitic factor in the trade in Circuster Winnings for a number of reasons, including high test rade in Circuster Winnings for a number of reasons, including high that, in the City of Winnipeg, the use of wood declared from £1% of occupied withings in 1941 to 18 is 1951.

However, since [98], in the opinion of the Director, coal has been come heavy for on in the Nominege area, advange the values of cost coverande in 1951 and 1952 was stim, high sed in dollar advantage of cost coverande in 1951 and 1952 was stim, high sed in dollar advantage of the process of cost of without part has been earlier ordinarily high, it was argued, the ratio of decrease in cost, consumption whose high process of the process of

In an attempt to overcome this treed towards the use of on, the Winniping debase conducted, during the years 1950 to 1952 incite sive, an advert sing campsage posting out the advantages of the over of cont. as a demental, feel. Further, efforts were made to offset the attractions of oil heating by encouraging the sale of stokers and by trying to secure regar at delivery of center coals of unform quality. The Manager of the Winniping Goal Exchange assued creciairs pointing edital range of the Secure Coals of Secure 100 to 100 to

Commenting on this decline in the sale of coal in the Winnipeg area, the Director made, in the Statement of Evidence, the following remark

"It is not necessary here to attempt to estimate to what extent oil, or for that matter natural gas, will supplant coa, for heating purposes. There is no doubt however that this actual or potential competition makes it imperative for the coal industry that coal be bandled at the lowest possible price.

and with the greatest possible officiency,"

The latter statement was referred to by counsel during the hearing and the argument on this point will be dead with later in this report

(c) Consumers of Coal

- The consumers of coa in Greater Winnipeg are describe in the Statement of Evidence as to lows
 - "The documentary and oral evidence indicates that, apart from certain industria and government accounts which purchase coal direct from who esalers or agents, the following claests, cation of the various consumers of coal in Greater Winnipég is accepted by the retail dealers

1. Domestic Accounts who boy relatively erm quantities of coa. throughout the seazon and usually take delivery of no more than one to three tons at one time. Almost all the coa sold to them is delivered in 100-lb. bags.

2. Steam or Biote Accounts. These are commercial critical seations of such as a fairly large questions of our during the bading, seatment shock, etc., which are fairly large questions of our during the bading access. The case, of stevered in blad and in arger quantities assessed to the seat of the seatment of the sea

3 Tear Round Deers A steam account who uses substantial quantities throughout the year comes within this classification. Some such establishments are laundries, bakeries, dairies and breweries. Such accounts are very attractive to the dealers the substantial country of the substantial country of the substantial country of the substantial discounts.

 Contract Accounts These consist mainly of Federal, Provincial or Municipal institutions which call for tenders for the season's supply of coal to various buildings such as schools, public buildings hospitals and the like. Some of the contracts awarded are for large quantities up to 10,000 or 12,000 tors and some require delivery to a number of buildings. Other contracte are quite small, e.g. certain municipal schools require as little as fifteen tons for the season. For a most al. of these contracts the overhead, delivery and storage cost factors are as low or lower than for steam accounts, and the prices quoted on many of them have been lower than for steam accounts.

5. Pick up Accounts. Some domestic customers, mainly farmers, buy their coa, supply at the dealers' yards and take it home in their own tracks. They obtain no co concessions from the dealers on such purchases."

During the argument it was represented by counsel that the Director's reference, in paragraph 13, sub-paragraph 3, of the Statement of Evidence, to the accounts of the source ed ' Year Round Users' as "very attractive" was too strong a statement and not justified by the evidence given. Counsel argued that these accounts were not very attractive and that the Director's statement implied high profit returns from this source, which was misseading. To support this contention, counsel referred to the following evidence given by Mr. J. Nepps of the Crown Fuel Company

16 Y THY CHATRICAN

- Ω. I was trying to see what your marsin was?
- Α. On Perth?

0

- On these contracts such as Perth where you give \$1.50 off the list price?
- Α. It is not much It gives us about 25 cents a ton, net
- Q. After paying the cost of delivery?
- A Yes.
- BY MR. HONEYMAN
- 0 Do you mean that is covering your profit and your overhead?
- Α. We cannot do any business on that kind of business. it is more of a trucking proposition than anything else. If I had ten contracts like Perth's Dye Works, which would keep about four trucks going steadily, I could not make a layang out of it.

Q. You would go broke?

A. Yes, I would go brone. But, as Ita, that works in with the other. If give one or two do our truck drivers a year-round job, you understand. If we were to have to keep a man in the yard for, let us any. Crom Cleaners or Graham. Gleaners once a week, we could not do it. But neeping Petril's employed, keeps him group about 75 per sent of the time, between Petrik and Kumford's rath threemen the vatar on we delivery.

- 0

(Transcript of Evidence, pp.2082-83)

For sace to householders ("Omnestic Accounts") there is no enter for a dealer to have much exposurement on order to operate as a coal practical, "Mat he needs is a coal year desired a realway reling, storage capacity of 19 fores or more, weigh states, a trace, some office facilities and one or two extegers. A number of small dealers handled here is called "Steam or Illock Accounts" and "Coatract Accounts", dealers generally use other equipment, such as conveyors and oppers, which show them to head large quantities more stiff creatly. Larger storage expactly is also needed for such bisness.

(d) The Winn.peg Coal Dealers

Since 1940 the total number of coal dealers operating in Winnipeg has decreased considerably. In that year there were III dealers, and in 1945 there were 65. Between June 1, 1952, and May 31, 1953, a total of 68 dealers were licensed by the City of Winnipeg.

The Statement of Evidence submitted by the Director is primarily concerned with the operations in Greater Winnings of the 68 Licensed retail coal dealers. The firm names of these 68 dealers with the abbreviated names which are commonly used in this report) are listed in paragraph 14 of the Statement of Evidence as follows:

"D. E. Adams Coal Ltd Adams Alsip Brick, Tile and Lumber Co. Ltd. Alsip Banning Fuel Company Banning Reverley Fuel Company Beverley Braid Builders' Supply and Fuel Limited Brand Canital Coal Company Limited Canstal T. P. Carver & Son Carver Chick Lumber and Fuel Company Chack

City Coal Company (Winnipeg) Limited Claydon Company Limited Coal Sa es (Canadian) Co. Ltd G. Co.emar.
Consumers' Co-Operative, U.C.F. Ltd Cook Fiel & Lomber Co. Ltd.

Cook Fiel & Lomber Co Ltd. Crown Fuel Co The Cusson Lumber Company Lumited J. Dalenger Coal & Wood

J. Dalenger Coal & Wood Dawson Fuel & Supply Company Limited De Leeuw Lumber and Fuel Company

The Dominion Lumber and Fue. Go., Ltd Feld 8 Fue.

Fort Garry Fuel Company Fort Rouge Goal Company Globe Fuel Co-Operative Limited

f agborg Fue! Limited Falliday Coal Co Sarstone Coal Company Ltd

Karstone Coal Compan Hudson's Bay Company John Irvine & Sons

Thes. Jackson & Sons, Limited D N. Jamicson & Son Ltd. Jubilee Coal Co., Ltd.

Jubilee Coal Co., Ltd. Lambert Fuel Co. Manitoba Fuel Company

H Mazerovsky & Sons Ltd.
McGurdy Supply Company Limited

McDonald-Dure Lumber Company Ltd. M. McMahon

M. McMahon H. J. McNeil Fuel J. S. Miller Coal Co.

Moore's Coal & Supply Ltd. North End Fuel Company Northland Coal and Ice Co., Ltd.

North Winnipeg Co Operative Limited Palace Fuel & Supply Limited Papple's Co-Operative Limited

People's Co-Operative Limited Pioneer Fuel & Supply Power Goal, Wood and Lumber Co.

Red River Co-Operative Supply Ltd. Remer's Fuel Co. Reliable Lumber & Fuel Co

Sargent Fuel Searle Grain Company Limited Simkin's Fuel Company Superior Coal & Wood Yard

Roy Swail Ltd. Thompson Lumber & Fuel Ltd. City Coa Ctaydon Coa Sales Coleman Consumers' Co-Op

Cook Crown Cusson

Dalenge Dawson

De Leeuv td Dom n.on Feld Fort Gar

Fort Garry Fort Rouge Gobe Magborg

Halliday Harstone Hudson's Bay Izeme Jackson

Jam.eson
Jub.lee
Lambert
Manutoba
Mazerovsky
McCurdy
McCona.d-Dure

McDona.d McMahon McNet. Miller Moore

North End Northland North Winnipeg Co op Palace People's Co-op

Pioneer Power Red River Reiner

Reliable Sargent Searte Simkin Superior

Swail Thompson The Toward I mber & Eacl Company Limited

Alon. Toya Fuel Ungerla Coal Co. Union Fuel & Builders' Supply Co. Washington Fuel

F J. Welwood & Go. Ltd. Wes Brook Cos Co. Windatt Coal Company Limited

L.m.ted

Wolfman Fral

D. D. Wood & Sons Limited

Union Washington Welmood Wes-Brook Windatt

Toupin

Tuek

The Winnings Supply & Fuel Company Winnings Supply Waltman Wood !

The Winnipeg cost dealers listed in paragraph 14 of the Statement of Evidence, according to the returns and the ora, evidence, sold, at retail, in the year 1952 in the Greater Winnipeg area about 723,000 tons of coal The Dominion Bureau of Statistics reported that in 1952 the retail sales of coal in Winning amounted to 691, 375 tons. The difference between these two figures was not explained by evidence, but the Statement of Evidence suggested the possibility that the figures submitted in evidence may have included some interdealer sales, or that some dealers did not report all their sales to the Dominion Bureau of Statistics. Another explanation offered was that some dealers could only supply estimates of their sales. However, at seems to be obvious that the 68 dealers mentioned above accounted for practically all the coal sold at retail in the Winnipeg area in 1952.

From the standpoint of sales volume, these dealers range from one who, in 1952, sold only 500 tons to one who sold over 100,000 tons. The Statement of Evidence gives the following table, classifying dealers according to sales, based on toppage sold in 1952

C.assification of Retai, Coal Dealers by Size - 1952

Over 25,000 tons	6
10,000 tons to 25,000 tons	1.5
5,000 tons to 9,999 tons	10
Under 5,000 tons	37

Source Returns made by the dealers to the Director, together with next evidence.

In 1952, of the 68 dealers - 37 were engaged exclusively in the sale of coal and wood. For 9 others, coal sules constituted more than 50% of their business. The remainder were primarily engaged in a variety of activities, including trucking and contracting and the sale of oil, building supplies, lumber, gravel, brick and tile, hardware, gasoline, bakery products, wholesale coal and time, and one dealer, Hudson's Bay, operated a department store. Referring

to this situation, the Director made in the Statement of Evidence the following comment ". for a number of these [dealers] a coal business serves to keep about and equipment employed and organizations intact during the winter season when the other parts of their businesses are quiet!

The accuracy of the latter statement was challenged during the hearing. Goungel maintained that the main reason why some of the dealers went into other lines of business in addition to coal, was the poor profit situation as far as the coal business was concerned. There is some evidence to support this contention, but it must be remembered that in the Greatey Winnings area neartically all of the domestic coal business and much of the steam business is seasonal. in character, the season running from about the end of Sentember in one year to the beginning of May in the next, a season of about seven months duration. In the opinion of the Commission it is natural, under these circumstances, to find many coal dealers turning to other businesses during the slack season of approximately five months in each year. From their business names as well as from oral evidence and returns made to the Director, it is noted that quite a number of the Winning coa, dealers are also enuaged in the humanesses of selling lumber and bunders' supplies, businesses which in that locality are complementary to that of a retail coal dealer.

CHAPTER III

THE WINNIPEG COAL EXCHANGE

1. Early History

Most of the information in the Commission's hands reading to the establishment and early activities of the Winnipse Coal Exchange is contained, in a book of minutes which was obtained in These minutes Contain brief notes of discussions, and of decisions, reached, but in some cases the only fact mentioned is that a meeting took place on a certain date.

The Exchange was organized by a group of time Winnings could dealize who melt for the purpose on fotobers, 1984. The mondest tan the manner of fore other dealize who were not present but who, tan the names of fore other dealize who were not present but who, the munites size, were in agreement with the principles of othe Exchange and witting to contribute to its support. At this meeting all the present the except of the property of the present later years the Except shape here all of the Minager. The Exchange has always been an unnocroporated voluntary association of retail could dealize in the Winnings graze. Any dealer saring a cult phenone.

In addition to the Executive Committee the minutes of the annual meeting held on November 6, 1930, show that a compliante committee and a memberable committee had also been set up.

From the date of its organization afforts were made to merease the membership in the Exchange by inviting other dealers to join. The membership grew considerably but between 1928 and 1935 there were probably never more than forty active members at any one time. However, the membership did include most of the large dealers.

It would appear that membership face in the Exchange have never been uniform. All first the fees payable by each dealer appear to have been fixed in amount but varying from dealer to dealer. For example, we find in the minutes of an Executive meeting held on September 16, 1932, that membership fees for the year were discussed and set as follows:

Alsıp Br.ck & Tile Co.	\$100	0,00
D E. Adams Coal Co.	\$250	10,1000
Best Coal Co.	\$100	
Arctic Ice & Fuel Co.	\$250	
Braid Supply Co.	\$ 50	0.00
Canadian Coal Sales Co.	\$ 50	0,00
Chick Supply & Fuel Co.	\$ 50	
City Coal Co.	\$100	0,00
City Lumber & Fuel Co.	\$ 50	
T. P. Carver & Son	\$ 50	
J. D. Glark & Go. & Robinson's	\$150	
Dominion Lumber & Fue. Co	\$ 50	4,00
r. A. Duncan	\$ 50	
Empire Goal Co.	\$250	
Hailiday Bror,	\$250	*,**
Harstone Coa. Co.	\$250	+,ee
Thos, Jackson & Sons	\$250	4,60
Kudonan Ice & Fuel Co.	\$ 50	
J. S. Maler	\$ 50	*.**
A.ex McCullough & Sons	\$150	
McGurdy Supply Co	\$100	*,**
Northland Coal Co.	\$200	4,44
D. Swail	\$ 50	
Swail Bros.	\$100	
Swail Coal & Cartage Co.	\$ 50	
Windatt Coal Co.	\$200	0,00
W.un.peg Supply & Fuel Co.	\$250	0,00
D, D, Wood & Sens	\$200	
Winn.peg Lumber & Fuel Co.	\$ 50	
Jno. Gunn & Sone	\$ 50	
Claydon & Co.	\$ 50	8,08
Notre Dame Fuel Co.	\$ 50	
Caledonian Coal Co.	\$ 50	
Toupin Lumber & Fuel Co.	\$ 50	*,**
H. Mazerovsky & Sons	\$ 50	*
Union Fuel & Supply Co.	\$100	0.00

This .ist appears to indicate a minimum fee of \$50 and a maximum of \$250 with variations in \$50 steps.

The last may not be entirely accurate, as the munities of the amoust meeting of the Exchange held only four caps personically contain a note that the Arteri Lee & Fael Co. Lld. was not a member of the Exchange though that dones contribution towards at supposes. It may be noted that the munities of the Executive meeting of October 5, 1923, suggest that Altips Brick. The Lomber Co. Lad. was not then 1924, suggest that Altips Brick. The Lomber Co. Lad. was not then contributed the Comment of the Comment of the meeting of 1925 of the Comment of the Comment of the meeting of 1925 of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the 1925 of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the 1925 of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the Comment of the 1925 of the Comment of

- The winder of the Twentive meeting from which the above is in an arrange of the Twentive meeting from which the approximate into interest and the top get what we could from their approximation in making of the transition of the
- It may be noted that the minutes of the annual meeting of September 12, 1932, record that the President of the Exchange in his report stated that the Hudson's Bay Co had promised co operation but that it was not a member of the Exchange
- The anterisks placed beade each name in the foregoing list of members in 1992. For united to indicate information obtained by the Director during the inquiry either from returns fixed by the dealers or from decumentary or oral evidence. One astering placed beside the name of a firm indicates that it or its successor was still indicated that the dealer was marked to be included to the dealer was membered of the Exchange on June. 1933.
- A year later, as appears from the minutes of a meeting of the Executive hold on Cyclore 4, 1932, a new yearten of computing feer was adopted, based roughly on the tomage sold during the previous year. So can that time the feer populably by members have been expense of the Exchange for the coming year is made up, the tomage of the year of the desires during the previous year a sold-stand and the amount required to meet the budget is divided among the various members in preportion to their previous year's allow.
- The business of the Exchange has been carried on largely through meetings, either of the full Exchange membership or of the Executive committee, with some matters being dealt with by other committees appointed from time to time.
- The minute book referred to at the beginning of this chapter covers the period from October, 1928, to July, 1935. It is possible that it does not contain a record of all the meetings held, e.g., the only meeting recorded (or 1930 is the annual meeting of the Exchange The total number of meetings held in sach year, as shown

by the minute book, is as follows

1928	-	10
1929	-	8
1930		1
1931	-	22
1932	-	31
1933	-	35
1934	-	38
1935	-	8

After 1930, most of the minutes relate to Executive meetings. Their dates indicate that it was customary to hold meetings about once a week during the heating season.

For the period between 1935 and 1946 minutes of only one meeting were obtained, that being a meeting of May 12, 1944.
However, documentary evidence, as well as the oral evidence of witnesses examined during the inquiry, indicate that throughout this period the Exchange continued to held meetings.

For the period between 1946 and the commencement of the inquiry in January, 1953, minutes of many of the meetings held were obtained.

Examination of all of these minutes shows that at meetings of the Exchange, from its beginning, various aspects of the retail coal trade were discussed and that the cheft item discussed was retail prices. Price lists were distributed by the Exchange from its formation in 1928.

The minutes of a number of meetings recorded in the minute book show that in the period down to the end of 1934, a good deal of attention was give-to the question of co-operation with the wholesale trade in coal and that meetings were held from Line to time with wholesale trade in coal and that meetings were held from Line to time with wholesalers for the purpose of seeking their co-operation in the efforts of the Exchange to regulate aspects of the coal trade in Winnipg.

2. Carrent Membership and Organization

(a) Membership in 1952

According to Exhibit AD-8 filed by the Manager of the Winnipeg Coal Exchange the following 39 firms were members of the Exchange in 1952 Adams Jubilee
Alsip Lambert
Banning McCordy
Beverley McMahon
Braid Miller
Capital Moore

City Goal Northland Claydon North Winnipeg Co-op-

Coal Sales People's Co-op.

Cook Pioneer
Crown Simkin

Dominion Swail
Fort Rouge Phompson
Globe Fouch

Hagborg Turk
Halliday Union
Harstone Windatt

Levine Winnipeg Supply

Jackson Wolfman

Jamieson

The .sst contained in this exhibit was confirmed by returns filed with the Director by the dealers, with the exception that one dealer. Swail, stated in his return that he was not a member of the Exchange but that he "contributed financia.iv" to the Exchange (Exhibit AR-I). However, it is clear from Exhibit AD-8 that the Manager of the Exchange regarded him as a member. According to his own evidence, he attended at least one meeting (Transcript of Evidence. p. 1913). Another firm, Hadson's Bay Co., whose name is not included in Exhibit AD-8, stated that it was not a member and was not considered by the Exchange to be a member It is noted, however, that the Hudson's Bay Co. has made substantial aroual contributions to the Exchange (Transcript of Evidence, n. (406), and has contributed to the co-operative advertisement campaigns conducted by the Exchange (Exhibit AH - .), also the Manager of the coal department of the company has attended both executive and genera, meetings and taken part m discussions (Transcript of Evidence, pp. 1405, 1408-10, 1412, 1418, 1419), and voted (transcript of Evidence, p. 1416), and submitted cost information to the Exchange (Transcript of Evidence, po. 1407 1408)

(b) The Officers, Board of Directors and Committees

The Officers of the Exchange are the President, Vice-President, Treasurer and Manager, the latter being a past official. The President visually serves for two years. The present Manager, A. H. Brett, was suppossed in 1940, and constitued as each until 1940. He then gave up the position but was re-sponsed in 1946, and has have been twelved directors in second years. The officers and the directors comprise the executive of the Exchange and include representatives of almost all of the larger dealers in the trade together with a few small dealers.

The following list of officers and directors of the Exchange for the sears 1949 to 1952 has been complied from information set out an Exhibit AD 8, which has been externed to. The name of the firm to which each person belongs has been added

President	G. Gaibraith (North and) 1949 1950 W. Kerby (Capital), 1951, 1952
Vice President	W. Kerby (Capital), 1949, 1950 S. Sunkin (Simkin), 1951, 1952
Treasurer	H. Wallace (Windatt), 1949 52

Directors

J B Bailite (Jackson), 1949-52 J. H. Holmes (Red River), 1949-51 * T A Duncan (Duncan Coal Co.), 1949, 1950 E A. Hasbors (Hasbors), 1949-52

E A. Hagborg (Hagborg), 1949-52 M A Mitenko (Adams), 1949-52 J Mowat (Fort Rouge), 1949-52

**E Rose (Harstone, Union, Moore), 1949-52 S Simkin (Simkin), 1949, 1950 R Vidler (Winnipeg Supply), 1949-52 P. Hawkins (McCurdy), 1949-52

J. Puchasak (?], 1949 J. S. Miller (Miller), 1949-52 R. Irvine (Irvine), 1951, 1952 E. Cook (Cook), 1951, 1952

E. Cook (Cook), 1951, 1952 S. Wolfman (Wolfman), 1952 G. Galbraith (Northland), 1951, 1952

Went out of business in 1950 (Evidence, p. 2405)
 Sometimes alternated with B. Rosenblat, the

President or co-owner of each of the three firms.

The Executive or the Executive Committee of the Exchange consists of the officers and the directors

From time to time the Exchange has apposited various committees to deal with specific problems. Thus in the minute for the anomal meeting half on May 8, 1947, there are references to a sports committee, a standards committee, memberathy committee and an ethics committee, also an advertising committee. One important committee when we appointed periodically was the "costs" or "prince committees" whose duty it was to collect information on dealer costs for the worrows of obtaining the warrage cost of heading costs.

(Transcript of Evidence, pp. 633, 639 40, 644-5, 1427, 1433)

(c) Manager

As already mentioned the Manager is the permanent paid official of the Exchange. At least during the years Mr. Brett has held that position a good deal of the business of the Exchange has been carried on either by or through the Manager, who naturally, has been subject to instructions from the Exchange as a whole or its Executive. The Manager arranged for, and aqually called, general and executive meetings, prepared the agenda, and kept the minutes of the meetings, although it should be noted that the minutes were not kent in a completely organized fashion. He assisted in preparing the annual budget. kept a roll of members, collected tonnage reports from them, and assisted in the work of setting the membership fee. He also acted as the agent of the Association in collecting membership fees. From time to time by distributed circulars dealing with matters affecting the coal trade and also with the social activities of the Exchange He actively assisted in collecting rost data and working out the formulae to be applied to the mine prices, and prepared and distributed the Price Guides and amendments thereto. He kept a record of coals, showing a break-down of costs and retail prices. He took an active part in preparing the co-prevative advertising programme. Generally speaking, he kent the dealers supplied with up-to-date price information. Mr. Brett also worked actively with a group of dealers who were concerned with the ailocation of steam contracts obtained on tender. It should be noted, however, that Mr Brett's own evidence (Transcript of Evidence, pp. 1055-56), and the evidence of Mr. Hawborn. one of the dealers (Transcript of Evidence, p. 2404), was that whatever he did in this connection was done solely in his private capacity and not in his capacity as Manager of the Coa! Exchange

(d) Meetings and Activities

It would appear that during the hast few years general meetings of the Exchange tave not been held st all frequently. It can be also also that year held state of the property is not be proposed to the proposed property and the proposed property and the property although sew than or regularly. The evidence includes respectly although sew than or regularly. The evidence includes the foresteen the property and the

Discussions at the general and Executive meetings ranged over quite a wide valuely of subjects relating to the retail coal trade e.g., co-operative adversings, summer storking of coal, credit, stoker service, municipal by-laws, coate, price changes, standards, ethics, membership, the Pixe List Glade and also sports.

(e) Sales Posit on of Members of the Excuange

From returns filed by the slatter with the Director and Exchange, verleding Swall plan the Michano (Sbo Co., in all a residence Swo Co., in all a residence) which was seen to residence of the cold, apprendix plan the return of the cold, apprendix plan to the cold, apprendix plan the cold, apprendix plan the cold seed to the cold plan the cold plan to the cold pla

CHAPTER IV

EARLY ACTIVITIES RELATING TO TRADE

The avolence re-along to Exchange activities in the period from the formation in 152 down to the end of the Second Werld War is far from compilers. True 152 to 11 %, it is found clearly in the Werld War is 152 to 11 %, it is found clearly in the Werld War is 152 to 11 %, it is found clearly in the Werld War is 152 to 11 %, it is found clearly in the Werld War is 152 to 1

While the minute book from 1928 to 1935 contains a very iscomplete account of the matters dealt with at meetings, it does indicate some of the matters with which the Exchange was concerned

1. Price Lists

Price Lists, or Price Guides as they were later described, were prepared and issued by the Exchange from its formation in 1928, as appears from the minutes of a general meeting of November 19 of that year

"Decided that new Price Liet should be insued with addition of certain grades of coal not shown on old List . . . No price to be listed on Souris Store or Nut. Secretary and D. King to decide which firms should receive copies as no charge made for same."

(Exhibit 4190)

The minutes of three meetings in 1934 record decisions for changes in the Price Lists and for issuing new lists.

(Exhibits 4256, 4260, 4265)

One witness stated that he had copies of Price Laste in his firm's office leading back to 1928 (Transcript of Evidence, p. 1446)

(or Price Guides) are normally issued at or near the beginning of the heating season in each year. Lists are also issued during the heating season if the Exchange decides upon a change in price Separate Lists are usually issued for 'steam' business and 'domestic' business

2 Prices

For the period from 1928 to 1935, the minutes contain a number of references to price discussions. The first of such references is found in the minutes of a meeting on November 14, 1928, and reads a follower

"Charyman invited discussion of s.Lalion in respect to local deliverse of Steine Cnal. particularly Decolorists, ROM & Strys. An expression of opin.om was taken from each member present and piccae were rhown to be widely varied. After cross.derable discovation if was deciried to give this matter further connected and piccae were decreasy was inserted to the article of the connected and the control of the con

(Exh.htts 4187-88)

The meeting arranged for November 19, 1928, was attended by 17 persons, representing 16 firms, in addition to the Secretary. Further discussion of the above matter 18 reported as follows

"Discussion of present prices of Pocahootas Coal was resumed. After everyone present had etade views and matter was fully considered, decided to continue swining at present prices, regardless of lower quotations on merior coal. No new business to be taken on at prices below Lat quotations, Therepose present inclined to think that present figures were

(Exhibit 4189)

Obviously the members of the Exchange were concerned about the varying prices, apparently lower than List, at which Pocahontas steam coal was being sold. Those present at the second meeting decided that they would, nevertheless, mainta.n List Prices and not take on any new business at prices below List quotations.

The minutes of seven meetings held between October 14, 1931, and Fabruary 3, 1932, show instances of certain dealers adver tising and selling certain kinds of coal and coke at lower than List Prices. They indicate a desire to keep the dealers in line with List Prices, and at the last two meetings it was considered advisable "to maintain present prices for the balance of season".

(Exhibits 4219, 4218, 4220, 4221 and 4223)

Premiums and discounts were discussed at an Executive meeting on October 5, 1932

"Some comments were made regarding the Gapital Coal Co. de 7 Monogram Coa. heng offered with a et of digities. Secretary was abled to see Better Business Bureau to get their views on this form of advertising. The question of cash discounts and out prices was discussed in connection with Miller Bros. and J. Dalenger and it was thought that these should be discouraged, after the practice of giving bags of wood with each tone of coal or risks.

Secretary reported D. P. Smith asking in regard to premiums. It was considered that calendars, thermometers, etc., given to customers, was guite in order but that premiums given away as an inducement to purchase coal were not allowable."

(Exhibit 4232)

A further reference to discounts is found in the minutes of an Executive meeting of October 24, 1933

"Reported one firm canvassing city police & possibly firemen at 50¢ off. Denied by Manager."

(Exhibit 4243)

The minutes of several meetings indicate some degree of agreement on prices. For example, in the minutes of a general meeting of June 8, 1934, we find:

"D. scussed price on restaurant coal on account of lower subvention. Price to be \$8.75 per ton,"

(Exhibit 4247)

And from the minutes of a special general meeting of August 20, 1934

'Special General Meeting re prices which were agreed on. McCurdy, Harstone and Brand a committee to consider open business."

(Exhibit 4247)

The same exhibit records that the committee met on August 22, 1914, to check prices and consider open basinism. Open business was described in evidence accountable, news with the government or such large plants as hospits, on which there was no understanding about prices and on which the dealers could quote any figure they indeed (Trainstruct of Evidence, pp. 1462-49).

And again, from the minutes of a general meeting of October 26, 1934

"Dealers were requested to co-operate with the Exchange before pricing new coals so as not to disturb the present price structure. Secretary was instructed to get in touch with those who were not present to secure their co-operation. New coals mentioned were. Ajax, Sheebo, Victory. It was decided that these coals all hould bear the same margin as Drumpe can.

(Exhibit 4260)

And again, from the minutes of an Executive meeting of December 3, 1934.

"The matter of 'Club' or 'group' buying was brought up, the statement being made that it was increasing. The Exchange was very much against this class of business and had parsed a resolution condemning same and requesting its members to cut at out. It has been going on for so long that it is very difficult to deal with but every effort should be made to have it discon-

(Exhibit 4266)

By "Club" or "Group" buying was meant an arrangement under which all or a certain number of members of the "Club" or 'Group" would buy their coal from a particular dealer and be given a discount for so doing.

3. Observance of Prices

From the munutes of this early period it is clear that the members of the Exchange were concerned about price-cutting, that such matters were discussed at meetings, and that some efforts were made to bring into line dealers whose prices deviated from the Price List. The first example is found in the minutes of a general meeting of December 1, 1928, from which the following extracts are taken

"Several matters were discussed in connection with sales,

Report of DD Wood re Union Loan & Inv. Co. Poca Sorge from Arborg Feel Co.

Sale by Despatis Bros come, Wpg. Electric at 14.75 reported by B & McCurdy.

Sales by Wpg. Coke & Coal to certain blocks in North End reported by J. D. Clark.

Reported by Empire Coal Exeter Court. North and Coal Co. Poca ROM at 10,00. Royal Truet.

.

Reported Caledonian Coal Co preparing advt. Newcastle Coal Stove Nut at 8.25

Toupin Coal reported Saunders Ridge coal sold by Rousseau at 13.50, Stove 12.50

(Exhibite 4192 93)

The minutes of an Executive meeting of September 16, 1932, contain the following

'Secretary was instructed to call attention of Halliday

Bros to price on Drumbeller stove coal (

Another example is found in the last item of the minutes of a general meeting of September 7, 1934, as follows

"McCurdy reported cut prices on Source stove to LaSale Hotel, to be investigated,"

(Exhibit 4254)

Twelve days later a meeting of the Executive was held, the minutes of which contain the following two successive items:

'Suggestion was made that we follow a plan adopted in Saskatoon to have all dealers sign an agreement to maintain prices and to cut out premiums in connection with sale of coal, held over for present

Mr Harstons reported several check-ups that had been made and adjusted."

(Exhibit 4256)

4. Co-operation with Wholesalers in Maintaining Prices

The minutes for the period 1928 1934 show that a number of meetings were held with wholesale coal dealers in Winnipeg, and that their co-operation was sought in connection with the efforts of the Exchange to maintain prices. The minutes of one such meeting, held on Petrava 20, 1929, contain the following

"Suggestions as to duties of retailer in return for co operation of wholesaier, Decided to try and organize a wholesale section."

(Exhibit 4200)

Again in September, 1931, the Exchange was concerned about activities of three deserts, Sexul, Arrent Lev, and Houleon's Bay, these activates quite apparently have go to do with prices and also with the aids of a second grade Dremmetter coul. A serse of five or axi meetings, one with wholesalers, was held during the last inne days of the month to deal with the matter, "The minutes of a special meeting of members on September 28 indicate that some governess had been made."

'G, C. Robinson reported re Arctic los & Fuel Co. which was considered satisfactory. Other matters re prices discussed

(Exhabit 42.5)

The minutes of another special meeting of members, two day later, report that a meeting had been hall "with "Milock and R. H. Cheshare", who appear to have represented Arctic fee and Hedenie's Bay. According to the minutes, these geatheren had advanced their winhappees to co-operate with the Exchange and also support of fascicially, it is also midsted that there would be no accord grade Drumbeller reciguized by them or the Exchange and also accord grade Drumbeller reciguized by them or the Exchange and had according to the second grade Drumbeller according to the second grade of the second grade grad

(Exh(b. + 4214)

Another example of Joint discussion with wholesslers is found in the minutes of a meeting of the Executive with wholesalers are "in the matter of co-operation and other matters". These minutes are undated but, from the context, it would appear that the meeting was hald between Cotober 15 and October 26, 1934.

"The matter of Edmonton coal being on the price list and not being adhered to was mentioned and the Chairman stated that this was being attended to. Meeting very agreeable and there seemed to be a disposition to co-operate."

(Exhibit 4261)

These extracts and others that might be quited from the minute book for the period micrate that the purpose of the Exchange in seeking to obtain the co-speciation of won-exacts was to recover their support in miniationing the prices and politics of the Exchange, It should be noted, however, that nowhers in the minutes is there any reference to any specific action on the part of whose-sacra being assets for or taken, In ord syndhome the miniager of Superior Coal stated for our taken, In ord syndhome the miniager of Superior Coal stated to the whole the superior that the superior coal stated to the superior to the superior that the superior that the coal off by wholester's because he was quitted grice.

> (Transcript of Evidence, pp. 287-290)

5 Allocation of Tenders

During the pre-war period the exact position taken by the Exchange and its members towards large confized is not clear, though it is obvious that they were regarded as being in a special price category. For example, the minutes of a special meeting on April 8, 1929, state.

"Special meeting had at 220 McDermott to discuss contract for Crescond Creamery Co. Dealers present considered price offered by one dealer, 8.50 per ten, for Poca Screenings, was a fair and reasonable price, without any guaranteed analyses. One or two declared they would ark a higher price for a good quality of coal, No dealer appeared withing to take contract at any lower price in view of the possible higher cost of coal dering the season.

(Exhibit 4201)

Again on May 27, 1929, a meeting was held and another arranged for May 29, both "to consider contract for Wpg. Municipal Bospital"

Some time about 1934 certain "steam" accounts began to be referred to as "open list" business. Thus in the minutes of a special general meeting held on August 20 of that year there appears the following entry

"McCurdy, Harstone and Brand a committee to consider open business."

(Exhibit 4247)

The committee met on August 22 (Exhibit 4247), and on August 30 the Executive committee recommended that a specified list of accounts be the open list (Exhibit 4253).

On September 7, at a general meeting, the recommended liet was amended and adopted, the minutes including "Open Business List as attached".

(Exhibit 4254)

The attached list reads as follows

"OPEN LIST FOR STEAM COALS.

Provincial Gov't Buildings

Dominion Gov*t Buildings

All Pub..c & High Schools in W.mn.peg, St. Boniface, St. James

Mospitals-Winnipeg General, Miser.cordia, St. Bonslace and its aff.l.ated institutions

The T. Esten Co. 14d

Manstoba Free Press

Creecest Greamery

Canada Bread Co.

Breweries-Drewery's & Shea's

Canada Cement Co
Winnipeg Electric Co.

Marthorough Hotel

5. S Kennedy Heating Co

NOTE- All apartment block, etores and rental agency business as definitely on the closed list, subject to the regular price list

(Exhibit 4255)

The note at the bottom of the last, by stating that "All apartment block, stores and rental approx bourness is definitely on the closed last, subject to the regular price sast,", clearly indicates that accounts in the "Open Last" were not subject to the regular Price such accounts in the "Open Last" were not subject to the regular Price with accounts.

The 'Open Last' was discussed at subsequent metiting It was still, in existence in 1939. By this time there were two special classes of diam coal accounts. The lirst, comprised mostly of these named as coasts. The lirst, comprised coasts of the coast of the coasts of

On Exhibit 311 there is a similar note to that quoted above from Exhibit 4255 . It reads

"Note -- All apartment blocks, stores and rental agency business as well as other plants using steam coal delivered in load tots are subject to the regular steam coal list,"

Summary of the Pre-War Period, 1928 - 1939

The evidence relating to the Pre-War Period from 1928 to .919, a fragmentary. The must behalf from which the evidence was chiefly obtained does not contain a clear and unequivocal record of what transperiod with respect to most of the matter discussed, not of specific steps taken or the reasons therefor. Further, the minutes of a great majority of the meetings are unsigned. However, unsatisfactory as the evidence is, the Commission believes that

(a) The preparation, issuance and circulation of Price Lists by the Exchange, separate lists for "domestic" business and "steem" business

(b) The desire of the Exchange and its members to maintain "List" Prices, the discussion at meetings of instances of price-cutting, and some instances of discussions with pricecutters resulting in satisfactory adjustments being made.

(c) The seeking of co-operation of wholesalers to support Exchange policies and prices.

(d) The discussion of at least two specific large contracts, and the development of an "Open List" of customers whose accounts were recognised as not hems subject to List Prices. On the other hand there is little or no evidence as to the enter to which. Last Prices were above the vidual rea. With person when the preventile or dealers in this person, as to the percentage of the coal market engowed by interhelers of the Enchange, is to record owner that market by the Enchange or its embers, or as to any actual steps agreed upon or taken by any wholesalers no upport of Enchange pattices or proces. The ovidence wholesalers have provided in the complete has been cut off by wholesalers as very indefinite as to time, concluders in content, and an appropriet by any other claser evidence.

The Pariod of Wartime Controls

During this period of wartime controls the Exchange continued to issue Price Lists whenever price changes were authorized by the W.P. T.B. and passed on to dealers instructions contained in Orders of the Board concerning price changes

(Exhibite 325, 328, 331-8, 344-5, 347-9, 351-2, 359-61, 1720, 1723, 1908-10, 1920-1, 1942-3, 1948, 1950-1

It is clear that the Exchange organization remained intact throughout this period and continued to function within the limits set by the control resultations.

CHAPTER V

EXCHANGE ACTIVITIES IN THE POST-WAR PERIOD

1. Price Guides

The function of issuing Price Lists, which begin with the formation of the Bexange and was contained forming he prival of warring price controlls, has been exercised since the removal of controls in April, 1974, a listant cown to the date of this suspery. In recent of the price of the price

"We wish again to emphasize the fact that the prices contained in the Price List Guides are arrived at as a result of comprehensive studies made and supplied only as a convenience to the retail dealers. The Coal Exchange does not set or enforce names."

(Exhibit 4788)

The practice of the Exchange has been to prepare Price Guiden such year, issuing them, before the opening of the heating season, to every incessed coal dealer in Winnipeg, whether a member that the property is the season of the property o

The Price Guide issued by the Euchange consist of two lists, the domestic list and the team isst. The domestic list applies to householders who hay relatively small; quantities of coal during the season and usuality take delivery of from one to their one at one time. It contains delivered prices per test, had to and quarter test of the standard coals and the long-standard coals and the manufactor. Greater Wamper, This coal excludes the standard coals to be standard coals on the standard coals of the s

during the heating season, usually taking delivery in bulk and in larger quantities at one time than do domestic consumers. It contains prices per ton, which prices are lower than those in the domestic list for the same coals because of lower dealer handling costs.

The evidence of several witnesses establishes that the practice is for the Price Guides to be compiled and distributed by the Manager of the Exchange on instructions either of the Executive or of a meeting of members of the Exchange, preceded by cost studies and recommendations made either by a prices committee or sometimes by the Manager.

Since 1986 the Exchange has endeavoured to arrive at the prime act out in the Bruce Clarke on the basis of a cost formula, certain margin of profit, The origin of this development a found in 1646, while price controls were still in effect. Members of the Exchange felt that carraining costs made bytelve retail prices. Exchange felt that carraining costs made bytelve retail prices. 1946, it was decided to seen the services of a knowled or chartered accountant to make a survey of dealers! costs on apport of an application to the former Price and Trade Start for an accessar on

The proposed survey was made by Sharp, Woodley & Company, Chartered Accountants, who reported thereon to the Exchange in a memorandism dated October 10, 1946 (Exhibits 1489 54). The report was based on a survey of the operations for the fiscal year; 1940 and 1945 of eight member dealers, varying in sace from the Largast to quite small firms, who between them sold

From the evidence of several witnesses it is clear that several elements enter into the total costs incurred by coal dealers. These were consulty described by witnesses as follows:

- (1) The mine price of the coal per ton.
- (2) The freight charges per ton from the mine to the dealer,
- (3) The dealer's handling costs per ton,
- (4) Losses arising from loss of weight and degradation of the coal during delivery to and storage and handling by

When all of these costs have been added up it is obvious that over and above the total thus arrived at, the dealer's selling price must include a fifth item by way of profit margin if his business is to show a profit on his operations.

At an Executive meeting of the Exchange held April 3, 1947, it was "suggested that for a guide 4% gross profit be considered

as a fair margin to be instituted with effect from Apri. 16, 1947*
[Schicht 4138]. The evidence of witheases, as well as documents
assuming cost and price states, makes it clear that by "Mg grosses"
profit" was meant %6 in the dealer's eviding price, and the amount to
profit "was meant %6 in the dealer's eviding price, and the amount to
of the total of all the foregoing courts. The prices itself on the Price
Guiden waved by the Erchange innice that date have always contained
this %6 profit allowance.

The mose price and freight charges are factors over which the dealers have no control increases in price to hasance cost increases, order these heads had been allowed from time to time by the Wartimp Prices and Trade Board. What Messers Sharp, Woodles & Gompany were chiefly concarned with were the dealers' handling costs. Their report contained a break-down of dealer operating costs for the cight doe ers for the fiscal years 1940 and 1945 under the followers beautiful.

Delivery and Yard Expenses:

Wages, Gas, Oil, Repairs,
Depreciation, etc [These were apparently delivery items]
Yard Wages
Yard Expenses

Sundry Expenses Overhead Expenses

Salaries - Management, Salesmen and Office

Depreciation
Rent or equivalent

Taxes - Property and Business Other Expenses

(Exhibits 1852-53)

The survey showed that the average unit cost of handling figures of the eight dealers in the two years were as follows:

1940: Total Del.very and Yard Expenses - \$.89
Total Overhead Expenses - .86
Total All Expenses - 1.75

1945, Total Delivery and Yard Expenses - \$1,48
Total Overhead Expenses - .76

The report states that these averages were calculated on the total units of fuel sold, which means that the figures just quoted are weighted averages of the eight dealers' costs. An application for an increase in retail prices for coal was made to the Wartine Proces and Trade Board, apported by a brief prepared by Bharp, Woodley & Company, based on their report, in the result, the Winney or call delairs were authorized to rake the price of coal by 10 ceats par tim, effective December 16, 1946 (Enablit '05), reflect the authorized increase.

(Exhibits 359-60, 1953 54)

In the year authorouse to 1966 the Exchange has not engaged special accounting services to make studies of the cost of heading the various hands of cost, instead, in each year the Exchange has conducted it so me call tudies (Transcript of Evodence, p. 239). The conducted its own cost studies (Transcript of Evodence, p. 239), and the following manner. The Exchange sends to some 15 or 26 desires, whose cost accounting a deemed to be sufferently accorate Exchange of the control of the

These weighted average handling costs activate the costs for both domestic and estam cost, the scala handling costs for the two heng very different. It is apparently thought that the handling costs of domestic, coal are about double these of stame cost, became in preparing the domestic Price Guides the handling cost figure is arrived at another than the scale of the scale of the scale of the preparing the domestic Price Guides the handling cost figure is arrived at another the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the the way of the scale of the scale of the scale of the scale of the the scale of stated that 'it is no odd thing, in some desairs' studies of that, how close if has come double of their scale of prices, that is, where they make

A new cost of hadding formula was developed in April, 1947, probably by a committee of power members apposed at a Executive meeting on the 3-fd of that month, "the committee the existing and the cost of the cos

respect of 15 domestic and four steam coals, are found in three similar bocuments obtained from the filter of Jackson and Modeon's Bay (Exchait 1960-6), and 1960, Apparently the data in these documents were largely adopted, because in new Price Last Goude for 11 of the coals referred to a Submit 1960 (also in Exchait 1960 and 1960) are stated and that for 12 of them the prices are the same as in Exchait 1960.

The first survey of handling costs made directly by the Exchange, of which there is any definite record, was authorized at an Executive meeting on December 19, 1947 (Exhibit 4357).

It may be nated that the report made in 1946 by Sharp. Woodley & Company made in motion of expanse a range from degradation or loss of weight. However, in a decument found in the degradation or loss of weight. However, in a decument found in the set out the current handing cest formula for disease; ceals other than Souris and also the current formula for Souris coals. Both of these cannot for degradation, 1947 but have seed Souris and writtlen's in the means of redgradation, 1947 but the current formula for the same groupings of domestic coals. These sew formulas until ext., andstone to degradation, as site on 15 for loss of weight. The dominant further contains a sample a though the sew weight. The dominant further contains a sample a though the sew of the contains a sample of the sew (Chabitats 2003-01-11), onto in respect of several specificity handle (sail Chabitats 2003-01), onto in respect of several specificity handle (sail Chabitats 2003-01).

A similar document, undated, was found in the files of the Exchange, (Exhibits 4660-61), and the formulae portion (without the examples) of another copy in the files of (Eyeson (Exhibits 3713).

There is no doubt that the proposed formulae were adopted and incorporated in the new domestic Price Lack Guides issued on March 1, 1948. At the coase listed on the new Price Guides show increases in price, and for all the coals shown in Exhibits 2010-31 as examples, the prices in the new Price Guide are exactly the same as those in the exhibits.

From that date an allowance for loss of weight as well as degradation has always been made in the prices given in the Price List Guides for domestic coal. Allowances for degradation and shrinkage have also been included in the prices shown in the Price List Guides for attem coal.

In April, 1948, the Exchange Manager resigned, effective April 10, and was replaced by Mr. A. H. Brett, who had been Manager from 1940 to 1946, and who remained as Manager from 1940 to 1946, and who remained as Manager from the dates of his reappointment in 1946 down to the date of this requirity. He began to keep a loose-leaf book containing a record of the price breakdowns, stree changes and the dates of such changes, and the

specifications of the various coals handled by the Winnipeg dealers, This book is entitled "Coal-Price Marx-Dy' (Exhibits 5534 5693 inclusive) It is referred to in this report as the 'price book',

sive) It is referred to in this report as the 'price book',

The price book lists imported and Canadian coals, showing domestic and steam break downs leading to the selling price, and giving figures for the following faimilar items

Degradation
Loss of Weight
Cost of Handling
Mine or Dock Price

Prof.t

The earliest entries in the price book are dated April 19, 1948. The specifications of various coals shown in the price book provide information for the use of dealers when quoting on large quantities of coal for steam or contract accounts.

Since the cost survey which preceded the Price List Guides of March 1, 1948, and prior to the beginning of this inquiry, the Exchange has undertaken handling cost surveys at the following times:

- I. In November, 1949
- In the summer of 1950
 In May, 1951
- 4. In May, 1952.

In the sarvey begun in November, 1999, a new standard form, brashing down in greater detail than previously the various handling cost items, was employed. It also included a new item for depreciation on equipment. This form has been employed in all subsequent surveys. In it the various costs are broken down under three main beadings. To be shown on a new ton hassis.

Overhead

Advertising Transportation Bad Debts Commissions

Depreciation on Buildings Rent

Interest and Discounts Legal and Audit Miscellaneous Office Expense

Taxes Salarias including Shapes

Yard Expense

Bans

Depreciation on Yard Eco.pment and Buildings Workmen's Compensation

Unemployment Insurance Wanes

Wood Cutting

Muscellaneous Yard Expense

Delivery

Operation of Trucks

Depreciation on Trucks and other Mechanical Enumeral Insurance

Licenses

Unemployment Insurance on Truck Drivers and Helpers Hyand Tyneka

(Exhibit 4483)

Results of the Cost of Handling

It must be remembered that price changes may be due to other causes than changes in the dealer's handling costs. During the period (rom the removal of price controls in April, 1947, to the date of this inquiry, a number of changes in the mine price of various coals and in freight charges occurred, being generally upward changes. These were generally incorporated in the Exchange Price List Guides, either by resume bulleting dealing with specific changes or by assume new Price Last Guides. Some changes were made also in the allowances for .ogs of weight and degradation and these were incorporated in the Price List Guides. Sometimes new Price List Guides reflected changes from these causes along with changes arising from cost of handling a reverse Constitute concerning loss of words and degradation with he dealt with a little later in this report. For the moment we are concerned with the use made of handling costs studies as an important

element in determining prices April 1947 Study

Reference has already been made in 54 1 to the amoint ment of a four member committee on April 3, 1967, "to evamine existing retail margins . . ". and to what followed. As already stated, there is no evidence as to how the committee proceeded. A faw of the cost Govern found in Exhibit 1960 and complex to those for the year 1945 found in the brief prepared by Sharn Woodley & Company in 1946 for presentation to the Wartime Prices and Trade Board (Exhibits 4512 13). One important difference between the 1946 and the 1947 figures is that the net profit (or loss) position found from the actual experience in 1945 of the dealers whose records were examined by Sham, Wooder & Company ranges from a profit of 26 per ten for one dealer to a loss of 22 per ten for another, while for 1941 souther 1949 processes a merporation for control the actual figures lained for this heading being 45 of miling profit in 1940 world and Eachier 1940 armed at producing a new proof, for the dealer of 46 of his section process. A metab better position profit involved has be had expoyed in

As stated previously, the prices indicated in Exhibit 1960 were largely adopted in the new Price List Guides of April 16, 1947

December 1947 Survey

Prior to the salvey authorised by the Executive on December 19, 1417. Wronings Supply and mude a study of their own cost of funding coal and has two-hed on an estimate of the increases in sadding charge which they brought necessary. Their conclusions appear in a document insided Gazciation of the reases Needed in appear in a document insided Gazciation of the reases Needed in the Company of th

Apparently Winnipeg Supply's proposals were not adopted at that time. However, in the minutes of the Executive meeting of December 19, 1947, we find the following paragraph

Agenral discousion revealed that costs of handling and diviering domestic coal had rase considerably during the year diviering domestic coal had rase considerably during the year view of entitionally strong more prices, coald be expl flows. The hans fear was that coa-would reach, a price beyond the shifty of the average householder to pay. Whals it was the ...animous was feared that the increased handling costs were forcing the dealers usin quite a precarious position. The Manager was customed that the contract and the dealers to accretan

(Exhibit 4357)

According to the President's report dated April 22, 1948, it would appear that in fact a committee was appointed for this purpose (Exhibit 2049).

As the survey progressed the Manager reported that costs had increased tremendously and that all dealers felt they would have to increase their prices, much as they hated to do so (Exhibit 4358).

By the date of the Executive meeting of February 20, 1948, the survey had been completed. In the Minutes of that meeting we find the following paragraphs:

'HANDLING COSTS

The Manager presented the meeting with the results of his survey of handling roots. Sacking. Delivery, and Overhead costs had risen invariably in all cases to a noint where relief would have to [he] acknowledged. Cost figures agreed with those found to be the case with those present and much regret was expressed that it would be necessary to add to the retail price in view of the already bush cost of coa. to the computer. While the increasing of retal prices was un to the individual dealer it was felt that all realized their nominon with repart to handling costs and knew that an increase was absolutely essential. New price list guides were to be issued effective March 1st, the dealers to be guided by the lists or not, however they saw fit. It was stressed by the meeting that it was not the policy of the Eychange to attempt to force any dealer in any way to adhere to the lists assued by the Exchange but as the increases would be the bare minimum it was felt that they would adopt the prices in self preservation. The manager pointed out that while all dealers were against increases in their price from the angle of the ability of the consumer to may, they were most definitely not going to stock western coals, with their high degradation, at their present margin.

(Exh.bits 4359-60)

As already mentioned, a document found in the files of Jackson (Exhibits 2010 11) contains, inter alis, the current formulae and the proposed formulae for domestic coals. The details are given as follows:

"Damestic Coals With Exception of Souris

Current Form	ala.	Proposed Formula	formula	
Degradation	Variable	1% Loss of weight -	Variable	
Unloading	, 20	Degradation	"1	
Sacking	, 25	Unloading	.20	
Delivery	1,25	Sacking	.55	
Bags	, 25	Delivery	1,40	
Overhead	1,02	Bags	, 25	
	2,97	Overhead	1, 15	

Increased allowance - 58€

Current Formula		Proposed Formula	
Degradation	.10	1% Loss of weight	
		& Degradation	, 05
Unload.ng	.20	Unloading	. 20
Sacking	. 25	Sacking	55
Denvery	1,25	Delivery	1,40
Bags	.30	Bagu	. 25
Overhead	. 76	Overhead	. 90

Increased allowance - 494"

It is not known just how the sirrey was conducted but it may be noted that the increased allowance of \$56 shown in the proper formula for domestic costs other than Souris is exactly the same as appears in the calculations made a few months earlier by "Animpeg Supply, except that the reduction of 15% in the charge for bags proposed by that company is not adopted, in the new formula,

The proposed domestic formulae were adopted and incorporated in new domestic Price List Guides, effective March ., 1948 (Exhibits 2035-36).

The mounter of meetings held prior to and during the survey of costs made it dear that the Exchange members fell that an increase in handling thereps had become necessary. They also suggest a cirar absolute act together and by means of a cost of handling array. In this connection there is some evidence that during this period Winnings and the prior increases it also proposed. It waids are appear that Winnings Eupst pace parts and the prior increases it also proposed. It waids are appear that Winnings Eupst has the company had deemed necessary, as Afr. Vallet, the company that has company had deemed necessary, as Afr. Vallet, the context of the context of the prior in the context of the c

November 1949 Survey

What a number of price changes appear in circulars and Price Last Guides between March 1984 and November 1984, cheful occasioned by changes in mine prices, it was not onlist the latter most that a new servey of handling out of the March March March Schange. This property of the server of the conveyors, loaders, etc.) were the basis for this parvey, which was put in motion by acticular dated November 17, 1949, issued by the Exchange Manager (Exhibit 4482)

In response to this circular a somehor of detainers near inmentional of their certific, as would appear from a document found in
'Dire 13/9", a copy of would was found in the files of Biodison's Bay.
'Dire 13/9", a copy of would was found in the files of Biodison's Bay.
'Checkhold 14%). These documents insulate an average cost of handling
date, a handwriter notation on Edukui 2275 saling, "0,67 Average
date, a handwriter notation on Edukui 2275 saling, "0,67 Average
costs had laken place. However, for reasons and darkness by the
increased costs. On tencrease was made. The cost of handling
formula for ligate (board) costs, both domestic and status, was
challed December 19, 1989, "saled by the Manager of the Eschwage
'1999, 1999, "saled by the Manager of the Eschwage
'1999, "1999, "saled by the Manager of the Eschwage
'1999, "saled by the Manage of the Eschwage
'1999, "saled by the Manager of the Eschwage
'1

"The Price List Guide (Basis of Mark-up)

As you are aware, the Coal Exchange has for several years been making studies of the cost of doing basiness. In making up the price list guide, overhead, yard expense and delivery expenses used are the result of average figuress obtained form a number of dealers. When all expenses are taken into consideration, an extempt has been made to for monitar the prices suggested so that

In spite of efforts mode, it is quantomable whether any Yimonge dadark has achieved the \$\$ dispeture. There are various reasons for this. One that steadily octrasing costs of labor, equipment of the steady octrasing costs of labor, equipment had a steady of the student made. Another very important cause is that due to maconceptions substrated from the past, it has been contained to the steady of the student of the steady than a too of other cost. This fallacy has been endept than to not of other cost. This fallacy has been endept that not all could be placed on the same have, allow-seem only just that all could be placed on the same have, allow-

The result of these recent findings is that on January 3, 1950, Suskatchewan Lignite will be listed in our price list guide as follows.

SASKATCHEWAN LIGNITE - STRIP

		1 Tun	1/2 Ton	1/4 Ton
(Domestic)	All Supes	8, 50	4,75	2,85
	Oil treated	8, 75	4, 85	2,95
(Steam)	All Sizes	6,65		
	Oil created	6, 90"		

(Exhibit 2280)

From the figures in the price book (Exh.bits 562, and 5668), it is easily seen that the former and the new cost of handing charges for Souris coa, were as follows:

Cost of Handling	Domestic	Stear
Old charge	3, 35	1,71
New charge	3, 55	1,76

There is nothing in the available evidence concerning the cost survey to show why Souris coal handling charges should be singled out for an increase. Exhibit 2279 indicates on the contrary that a general increase applicable to all coals might have been expected,

August 1950 Survey

In August 1999, apparently following a meeting notice of which statistic in the Pastist A. and it Emakes 1990, whates survey of coal handling coats was make. The available worders of a cost figures used in this survey may well be incomplete. The only cost figures found in the filter of the Euchangs which are identified with this data are since of Wanning Supply (Eachbert 1995 3). Form Eachbert 1970 found in the filter of Wannings Supply (Eachbert 1995 3). For Eachbert 1970 found in the filter of Wannings Supply (Eachbert 1995 3). For Eachbert 1970 found in the filter of Wannings Supply (Eachbert 1970 found in the filter of Wannings Su

The figures submitted by Winnipeg Supply (Exhibit 4750) give the following picture:

	[Average] Cost per Ton	Estimated Breakdown Between	
		Domestic	Steam
Yard Expense	.78	.85	.57
Delivery	1.39	1,87	. 83
Overhead	2.75	1,07	1.00

A s.m. ar return submitted by this company in November 1949. (Exhibit 4927) cave the following corresponding figures:

Estimated Breakdown

	Between		
Average			
Cost son Ton	Demonstra	Starm	

	Cost per 10s	Domestic	Diegin
Yard Expense	.59	.77	, 38
Delivery	L. 47	1,98	. 6.2
Overhead	1,00	1,33	, 60
	3,06	4, 08	1,80

It thus appears that this company's average handling costs had decreased as compared with the previous year, which decrease the company estimated should be credited extra-y to domestic coal, giving a reduction in handling costs for domestic coa. of 29f per ton,

The Legares submitted by Windatt (Exhibit 4752) show average costs of about If less than for the previous year. The costs for Fort Rouge and Simikin for the previous year are unknown. The average handling costs of these three companies, as shown by the above exhibits in August 1950. were

Fort Rouge	2,5
Sımkın	2.8

Whether, in calculating new formulae the cost figures of the above four companies were the figures used, or whether they were used in conjunction with figures of other companies, is not in evidence, but new formulae were adopted for domestic and steam coals and were incorporated in new Pirce Guides effective September 1, 1950. These formulae are set quit in Excital 1993, as follows:

September 1, 1950

Domestic Formula

1% Loss of weight	
Degradation	variab
Unloading	, 20
Sacking	.70
Denvery	1,55
Bags	. 25
Overhead	1, 15
	9 45

Steam Formula

Degradation & Shru	
Unloading Reloading	, 25
Delivery	. 85
Overhead	.51

These formulae show an increase, in handling costs for domestic coals of 30¢, as compared with the formula used for the domestic Price Guide of March., 1948, and an increase for steam coals of 20¢ as compared with the formula adopted for some steam coals in April, 1947.

On the same date, September 1, 1950, an increase of 5¢ was made in the loss of weight and degradation allowances charged in respect of the basic demostic coals (Exhibit 1952), and also in respect of those steam coals for which only 10¢ had been allowed previously.

When the new Price Guides were issued on September 1, Mr. Brett sent with them a circular of which one paragraph reads;

"The Price List Guide enclosed reflects studies of dealer costs made in recent weeks. These studies have necessitated changes in the pricing formula to cover increased cost of

delivery, bagging, etc," May 1951 Survey

In a circular dated May 22, 1951, another study of handling costs by the Exchange was announced to the dealers by Mr. Breet. The following is an extract from this circular:

". . We are, at present, commencing another study of handling and delivering costs with a view to increasing our gross profit margin. Such studies earried out last year brought to the Winnipeg dealers an increased profit of approximately \$300,000.00 and of course, pad for Coal Exchange assessments many times over the fees assessed. It is possible that our studies for this year will have a similar result, "

(Exhibit 693)

Counsel for Jackson argord before the Communion that the statements in the occurate dud in the year a true picture, though Mr. Bett might have thought on. Betting in much the total tenings of cut soid at 100 at 1

One contention advanced by Mr. Bett on behalf of Exchange activations was that can figures assimited by dealers which appeared to be excessively high were not used by the Exchange in seeking to arrive at the average dealer costs of handling. This contention would appear to be borne out in this instance, since the figures shown in the attrinstended by the off the dealers, analy. Lambert nother dealers who submitted estimates, and since mether Lambert's not Carver's (agrees appear in Exhabits 5418, 2458 or 447,

The cost fagers submitted at this time by Winnipeg Supply showed a small reduction in severage handing costs but an increase of £F per too. in the cost of handling domestic coal. For its reason and because it anticipated forther increases in cost of handling coal, Winnipeg Supply indecenvers to obtain the support of the Exchanges and either dealers for an increase of £F per too in the heading other dealers in the increase increase in the part of the winning Supply was not accepted.

called for July 24, 1951 (Exhibit 1898), the minutes for which meeting are not in evidence, an increase of 5d in handling costs for all coals both steam and domestic was approved. At the same meeting it was probably agreed that a recent increase of 20¢ per ion in freight rates should be passed on to the consumer in the price of coal (Exhibits 1898 and 4622). It seems that the 5g increase in the stem for handling costs was really intended to cover the costs of a sount advertising campaign undertaken through the Exchange on hehalf of the condealers (Exhibit 1458). For some reason this 5¢ increase in handling costs was attributed to describition and loss of weight, at least it respect of domestic coal, rather than to overnead costs which would seem to have been the normal place for or liston of such an item (Exhibit 3419). An examination of Exhibit 3419, together with Exhibit 4993 and the price book discoses that if the loss of weight and degradation charges are disregarded the total handling cost charges in the in Account 1951 on shown by Public 1419 were \$1.85 for a 1 the coa's listed on that exhibit. This was the same charge as was adopted in Sentember 1950. The only change observable in August 1951 is that the stem for loss of weight and degradation was increased by 56. New price guides were issued dated August 1, 1951, showing the 204 fre out acrease and the 56 acrease in total cost of handling charges

With respect to the est, mate of W.mnipeg Supply that handling costs of domestic coal had increased and were expected to increase still further it may be observed that in a circular dated October 29, 1951, Mr. Brett stated

'The Price List Guide issued Appart), 1951 reflected increases in freight which were put into effect Jul. 26. Using the dealers! funners statements of March 3. at. 195. for reference we found that no appreciable difference in the cost of handling coal was indicated over operations of the previous year. This was probably due to the mild weather and good working enadations

(Evh.hut 4622)

Winnipeg Supply continued to fee, that an increase in domestic handling charges was justified and made further efforts to that end. On October 29, 1951 new Price Guides were served effective November 1, 1951, which contained arise increases of 254 per ton on all domestic coals with the exception of imported stoker coals (Exhibit 501-02). Steam lists remained unchanged except for imported stoker coals

It seems that the Exchange met with some immediate objection to the increases proposed in the new Price Guide, because on November 1, 1951, Mr. Brett sent the following circular to the trader

Since mailing you the suggested price ust guides dated. November 1st, we have encountered a few objections to the list and we suggest that you continue with the old price Lot guide until these difficulties are ironed out.

You will be hearing from us within a few days in this connection '

(Exhabit 4626)

From the oral evidence it would appear that Mr. E. A. Ragborg, Manag ag Director of Hagborg, was responsible for the carcel atom of the November I guide. In the course of his examination he stated in part as follows:

"There was a suggested price occrease of 25 cents a ton, and I felt that they did not have enough selectment and take time. It was before all the companies could produce their statements for the year. They have that there were increase; going through, and I more that, soo. We had increase in wages and increases in officernt things that have goot through during the year. But I was a support of the product of

(Transcript of Evidence, p. 2378)

The November 1, 195: Price Guide was not reinstated,

May 1952 Survey

A handwritten document found in the files of the Exchange and which appears to be minutes of the annual meeting of May 29, 1952, contains the following stam:

"Price Comm to deal with Price List Guide before Aug.

(Exhibit 4672)

In a circular dated May 30, 1952, Mr. Brett weekd the dealers to submit their cost of handling estimates before June 7, 1952 (Exhibit 2591)

Following the insuance of this circular a number of dealers authorited their estimates. Copies of estimates of six dealers were found in the files of the Euchange (Echabits 4674-79). These estimates show average overall handling costs, One other dealer admirted a return not showing average overall costs but separate figures for domestic and stance and operating costs.

From an undated handwritten document found in the filts of the Exchange it appears that the average costs of six of these deacers produced a weighted average handwrg cost of \$3.23 per ion. This document also contains the following break-down as between steam and domestic costs.

> "Steam 2.16 Domestic 4.30"

The handling costs of and daller, McGerly, were apparently not used a producing those figures. These estimates showed much the lowest cost ligures of any of the seven whose 47221. The Sazze Manager of McGerly restored that that company had no accreate notivedge of finite costs in their cost, department, and accreate notivedge of finite costs in their cost, department, and accreate notivedge of finite costs in their cost, department, and accreate notivedge of finite costs in their cost, department, and accreate notivedge of their costs in their costs, department, and accreate the cost of several costs of the cost of several costs. The cost of several costs of se

The proposation of see Peric Last Coules we decisioned an Executive Mercing on July 17, 1876 (Edithic 401), and shortly afterwards new cost of handling formules were subject for democratic Advances of the Coules of the Coules

This was the last cost of handling survey and revision before the start of the inquiry.

Charges (or Loss of Weight and Degradation of Coa.

All coal coses weight from the evaporation of water in the coal, but the amount of cost varies with different types of coal and the conditions under which it is stored. Bome coals have quite high motisture content when we others are moistures content as very small, Some coals are stored by the dealer for a period which may run unit of manches, while others are winded for very short period of time if it manches, while others are winded for very short period of time if it is not to be a simple of the condition of the coal of the c

According to Mr. Brett's evidence the Exchange in providing an item for loss of weight had regard only to the loss of wright which occurred between the time when the coal was shipped from the mine and its receipt by the dealer, evaporation losses while the coal was in the hands of the dealer being treated as part of degradation.

Digradation results from the breaking down of coal into smaller sizes. For any particular yeal of earlier hyperaprice, a statumed from the large size thing coal. When wall is adioated from priced by and leaded on a true for fletwery to a customer it is always found that there is a cersa-it reside which cannot be disposed of an time process. Down of this may be a saidle as cobles, store, and or pea there is always found to be some resides which is classified aimby a back. According to the evidence in this supply the body consideration by this dark are the relievy. According to only evidence the price that is the said of the said of the said of the said of the bay this dark are the relievy. According to only evidence the price freight which the pages on the aliquent from the master has own yards.

The Exchange has not make a practice of conducting a regular or annual novery not the term of fore of weight of chance and expensive and the phase done with respect to the declares 'handling costs, desired the second of the se

From the evidence of both of these witnesses it would appear that loss of weight from responsation of movision has worked only retty generally at 16, at least in respect of domestic cust, and the practice has been to include an allowance equal to 16 of the laddown cost of domestic costs to the dealer in the price of domestic costs as shown in the Pirez Lest Guide. The actual amount in terms of money has instantily varied according to the cost of each particular of correct costs in the cost of the cost of

It was the interties of the Exchange to have the allowances for loss of weight and degradations reflect the actual super-nease of the dealers in the Winnipeg area. Mer, Brett gave evidence that on at least one occasion, the checked the amount long allowed for loss of weight and the degradation of a certain lump coal, In this instance the total amount long allowed for these two dress was about \$1.00, and as the amount long allowed to these two dress was about \$1.00, and as that amount allowed for these two dress was about \$1.00, and as Willed in the content allowed for these two dress was decided about \$1.00, and will the sameders twickness the deserve of the Exchange that the

amounts allowed for loss of weight and degradation should reflect actual dealer costs, it may be noted that Mr. Brett thought the higher allowance had been in use for some years before his survey discovered that the actual costs were much lower (Transcript of Evidence, pp. 460-41 and 673-74).

It may well be argued that allowances for loss of weight and degradation arrived at as the swinger experience of a number of desires who had made reasonably careful chees of their costs in this way of the cost of their costs in the cost of their costs of their

We have alreasy sees that so September 1, 1996, an excrease of 59 was under such allowances for loss of weight and degradtion charged in respect of the basis demestic coals (Dokhint 4992) and also in stepset of octrain neither coals after which notify 10% had previously taken in the company of the coals of the coals of the coals of the lacrease in handling cost charges was attributed to the loss of weight and degradation liter, at least in respect of domestic coals. Apparently the reason for this 50 increase is given in a circular dated November 36, 1931, sent outly bid, next, one paragraph of which

'In our Price List Guide of August 1st, an increase of 5 cents per ton was made in addition to the freight increase so that our members would be covered for the 1 cent per ton assessment required to defray expenses of the advertising campaign,

(Exhalat 1458)

From this statement it would appear that in this instance at any rate, the Exchange and the dealers did not accept the advertisers' hypothesis that "advertising pays for itself". It seems clear that the increase was in no way related to actual increases in these items of dealer expense. The increase of §§ was included in subsequent Price Latt Guides,

It will be remembered that following the cost of handling survey of May 1951, it was decided anto make any general anothers in the cost of handling formula. It will also be recalled that on October 28, 1951, one Price Guddes were stored to be directive November 1, 1951, containing price increases of 25fy per ton on all domestic cash with the exception of imported token costs, and Carther that these new Price Guddes were withdrawn due to opposition of certain Gentler. A price Guddes were withdrawn due to opposition of

meeting held on December 5, 1951, the Exchange Executive decided to socresse prices by 254 per ton on certain coass described as "coals that are increasing" (Exhibit 4652). The change was to be effective December 5 An avamination of the Brice Book break-downs for a number of coars for the date December 15, 1951, and a comparison of the furties shown there a with the current cost of handling formula for domestic coals indicates that this increase was attributed to loss of weight and degradation. This may have been necessary since no change was made at that time in the cost of handling formula. The Base Book heavy downs for December 15, 1951, act, also show once one figure for the total of dealer handling coats plus the allowances for loss of worth and degradation. However, a scheening Price Book break-down dated Aurust 1 . 1952 . contains two items . one for loss of weight and degradation and the other for handling costs. The figure given at that date for loss of weight and degradation as the same as would be obtained by deducting from the combined figure shown in the break down of December 15, 1951, the amount shown in the current cost of handling formula at that date. Thus statement is true generally of coals for which a 25d increase was not into effect on December 15. 1951, though with respect to some of such coals some modification is found in the break-down of August 1, 1952. Drumbeller coals of all sizes, himp, stove, nut and stoker were among those for which the 25g increase was put into effect on December 15, 1951

subspired, the lows of weight and degression allowances for domestic condiscent revised and manage cases mersand. In a very few is a condiscent revised and manage cases mersand in a very few is increase of 255 had been part uses effect on the preserve December 15. In a number of nationaces to change courred, but no a good many intraces the allowances for all fiver same, intop, silver, and and promiselize could be proceeded to the present of the condiality of the condition of the condition of the condition of the allowances fixed for these various sixes of Deumbeller could on could stitute time.

When the August, 1952, cost of handling formulae were

Apart from the internal evidence afforded by the current cost of healting formulae, and by the heak-downs in the Phica Book, changes in the allowance for less of weight and degreetenin in Describes 1931 and August 1952, is down in a review pergared by Describes 1931 and August 1952, is down in a review pergared by Describes 1931 and August 1952, is down in a review pergared by Describes 1931 and the Philadelphia Philadelphia 1932 and degradation with respect to a manner of coals, facilities (and degradation with respect to a manner of coals, facilities, Standard Cress and Postniks (Edukatio 1340). The Deficace on p. 85, residing as follows in the Restruction of Deficace on p. 85, residing as follows in the Restruction of

Туре	1	2	3	
Drumheller lump	572	.45	.70	
Saunders Creek lamp	581	.66	.91	
Faothills lump	556	.70	1.00**	

Column I of this table shows the artis, loss of weight and degradation costs of Winnings Supply for the three coals shown as of September 1951, Column 2 shows the allowances provided by the Ear hance at that time, and Courters 3 shows the increased allowances put into effect on December 15, 195, as shown in the Price Guide of that date, which allowances were subsequently adopted as loss of weight and degradation costs in the August 1, 1952 formula It seems clear at any rate that the changes made on December 15, 1951, bore no relation to the corresponding costs of Winnipes Supply There is aothing in the written or oral evidence to indicate that the actual experience of dealers at this time showed increases in the loss of weight and degradation charges applicable to these particular coals or to any coals. Therefore, in the oninion of the Commission, these increases of December 15, 1951, appear to have been arbitrary and the changes made on the 1st of August, 1952, which brought the allowances for a considerable number of other coals into one with those fixed for Drumbeller on December 15, 1951, therefore, also appear to have been arbitrary in character

Allowance for Bags

Since a very large proportion of the domestic coal sold in Winnings is sacked or bagged by the dealer for delivery, and since bags not only wear out but may be damaged or lost, the provision of bags is a continuing expense for the dealer and forms part of his handling costs In the April 1947, domestic formula the charge for bags varied from a low mount of 15¢ per ton to 30¢. However, in the formula adopted in 1948 there was included a uniform charge of 25¢ for bars and this amount continued to be used down to and including the formula for August 1952

It would seem that the actual cost of bags was well below this figure of 25¢. This annears from the cost figures of several dealers and also from a carcular sent by Mr. Brett to the dealers and dated October 1, 1948, the first two paragraphs of which read as follows

"The cost of bags and the expense of bagging coal have been the anheat of much debate for many years. Homestronably these costs have advanced each season until we now find the bassing operation is an extremely important and perplexing factor in the handling of coal

In the markup formula which we have been using during the nest season the cost new ton of have her hear set at 254. A study of dealer costs would indicate that the actual outlay is somewhat between 10 and 15¢ per top."

As no change in the allowance for bags was made followand this case day is appeared to the Commission that the change of 25d bears no direct relation to actual dealer experience and a arbitrary an character

2. Subsidiary Pricing Arrangements

In addition to the preparation and distribution of a Price Guide certain other pricing matters were considered in the Exchange and subsidiary pricing arrangements were arrived at, chiefly for the purpose of dealing with situations not provided for in the Price Guide. or where it was felt that departure from the proces shown to the Proce Guides was justified. The matters so dealt with fall under the following heads:

- 117 special discount for "year-round accounts",
- (111) proces of coals not lusted in the Price Golden and other price information.
- (ini) charges for deliveries in 50 lb bace and for carrying coal into basements
- (11) aubardiary pricing arrangements in connection with delivery of steam coal.
- tvl zone charges.
- discount for "pickup" buyers. (vi)

In the agreeon of the Commission the evidence on these matters indicates the intention and the desire of the Exchange and its members that the prices charged by the various dealers should be as nearly as nossible uniform.

We shall evamine each of these headings briefly in turn; Near the end of Chapter IV of this report a reference was

(5) anecial discount for "year-round accounts"

made to two special classes of steam coal accounts. One of these as act out in Exhibit 111 commerced laundrics, dry cleaners, bakeries dairies and creameries. The heading of Exhibit 311 as as follows:

"THE FOLLOWING INDUSTRIES USING LARGE QUANTITIES OF COAL THE YEAR ROUND

Subject to 20¢ off the regular steam list for stoker coal and 25¢ off regular steam list for other sizes."

Another document found in the file of Jackson, dated April 28, 1941 (Eachiel 1991) contains a cumular list of Year-Round Accounts. Moreover, to this document a discount of 25d per ton our accounts. Mr. W. P. Kerly, Vice-Persident and Sales Manager of Capital, stated in his evidence that there was an understanding at that turn that year result serve which be allowed a decount of 25d off in the capital country.

According to the evidence of Mr Velles of Winnings Supply, and Mr. Hawense of McGredy, this practice of giving a discount of 256 off the steam list to certain year-round users was continued in effect down to the time of the imagistry by many of the dealers, though parhaps not athered to by all [Transcript of Evidence, pp. 1117 and 2606.61]

Mr. Brett traitfied with respect to this class of business that it had been proposed that when a dealer stinded to quote an off list price he should advise Mr. Brett of the price he was quoting, the intention being that Mr. Brett would pass this information no to any other dealer who might be asked to quote on the same account (Transcript of Evidence, pp. 674-75 and p. 1003)

Apparently this arrangement did not work satisfactorily as is indicated in a circular dated November 20, 1951, sent out by Mr Brett and marked "Gontidential", copies of which were found in the filse of Hagborg (Exhibit 1638) and McGurdy (Exhibit 2896). This circular contained the two following sarangeabs:

"In our last meeting of the Executive, I was instructed to obtain from the dealers a list of those accounts presently being billed below the steam list guide. The purpose of this is to supply me with very necessary information, so that I can give some thought to improvement

This information will, of course, be held strictly confidential and will be discussed only with the dealers concerned."

(Exhibit 1638)

In a letter bearing the date November 13, 1951, Mr.

Vidler of Winnipeg Supply, wrote to Mr. Brett enclosing a list of
accounts and giving the luformation asked for in the foregoing circular.

Mr. Vidler's letter read as follows:

"Enclosed is our firm's list of Steam and Commercial business where there is some deviation from the regular List price

I have segregated these into three groups which are shown accordingly with other additional notations or explanation

I think the reason for special prices in their case is pretty well self-explanatory with the possible exception of the three Catholic institutions marked?

If you can believe it, it was only when I had our Steam clerk mare, so this list that I was aware of this special price to these three places, however, it tracing the origin of these special prices, I find they came into being during the war years when Pat O'Brien was with us and esemingly were dropped to this price to meet competition prices from some other dealer.

However, you can certainly accept my assurance that on the very first opportunity, such as increase in freight rate, I will bring this price up to List -- providing of course, I am not "cutting off my nose to spite my face" or in that there are other dealers who are sware of this discount price being in effect and will not follow aut in bringing the price up so perhaps I can get your assistance on doing this when you have completed your survey and received your of price lists from the other dealers.

(Ewhibit 5201)

The Commission considers that the language in this letter shows clearly that Winning Supply regarded certain types of account as being entitled to a discount from the ordinary price for steam coal, but that accounts like the three Catholic institutions referred to in the letter, were not recognized by the Euchange or other dealers as being entitled to any such discount

Attached to Mc Vidiar's letter as a document (Exhibit earlier) containing there has do account "The first his headed "Verail Portal Bauerses (1/4) off har"). It contains easily account and the "Alaport Account Eld off little to heap from carbot hoping". It contains price in the "Let of the little has prese carbot hoping". It contains prices for for accounts. The dural list is headed "Other Special Intelligence of the Contains prices for for accounts. The dural list is headed "Other Special Intelligence of the hoping of the Contains prices in the late accompanied with logics in the carrent section list [Edulith 196], it is found that most of them there are decreased 164 In it as in this they have the thirt has made of the three and the contains a section of 154 In I as in this they have the thirt has made of the three and the section of 154 In I as in this thirt has that the chains of the three sections and the section of 154 In I as in this three that the chains of the three sections are the section of 154 In I as in this three that the chains of the three sections are the sections and the section of 154 In I as in the three that the chains of the three sections are the sections and the sections are the sections and the sections are the section

McGurdy also wrote in reply to Mr. Brett's circular and according to the oral evidence of several witnesses some of the other dealers did likewise McCurdy's letter dated November 21, .951, and marked "Confidential" reads as follows:

"Gomp.9 mg with your request under date of November 20th, please be advised the only account we are selling below the Steam Last Goude, other than those mutually agreed upon, is the one to the Union Lean and Investment Company. The reason for this is well become to work.

We are selling the City of Winnipeg, Sour.s Cobble Coa. delivered to their Relief Department, below the retail list as shown in our Guide.

The Writer wal be pleased to discuss this matter further with you should you so desire."

(Exhibit 2897)

When quest.oned on the phrase "other than those mutually agreed upon" in the foregoing letter, Mr. Hawkins of McCurdy Supply, stated:

"I am not familiar with that part of it so much. But there were some buildings being sold below our suggested list. We had agreed to let them stand. This came up often."

(Transcript of Ev.dence, p 2060)

Me. Beet was quastioned concerning the purpose of this survey of accounts old a lates finds to Stans. Late. He expansion was that if he had the information requested by the exceller, he would be able to make the Official prices surfailed to other deathers with the object of the object of the object of the Official prices surfailed to other deathers with Official Prices would be object to the object of the Official Prices with the Official Prices which would be given to 3 exciption of the curvature when we remember that the circular was marked confidential and contained the extension of the Official Prices with the Official Prices was marked confidential and contained the extension that the loss minutes with the Official Prices with the

Mr. Hawkine offered another explanation He stated that Mr. Brett had told him:

"if a number of sales are below list, perhaps our list is too high. If they figure we can do business cheaper, we will reduce the list."

(Transcript of Evylence, p. 2060)

Mr. Hawkins added that no reduction was made, giving as the reason that the survey did not show "enough selling below the list". The evidence available to the Commission is not conclusive, but in the Commission's opinion, if probably points to a policy of endeavouring to keep the accounts which were deemed to be entitled to special discounts within certain understood categories, which would be special discounts within certain understood categories, which would be specially accompable to the dealers.

(ii) prices of coals not listed in the Price Guides and other price information

The Exchange is used as a funnel for the passing of price information to the dealers, particularly where the information to extred is not found in the Price Ginde. The importance which Mr. Brett statehed to this practice heary adhered to appears from a letter which he wrots to Jackson dated September 22, 1952. The inter reads in part as follows:

"At various times throughout the year I am asked to supply auggested steam puries on coan which are not generally listed to the strain list. The dealers phone me and I work the prices out for them based on the formula now being used. If this procedure is not followed, im-sunderstandings are encountered together with a certain amount of ill feeling.

The importance of giving me a call before quotations are made is apparent. I certainly want to avoid a price war, which is bound to occur upless we all follow the same procedure."

(Exhibit 4703)

Where the sprecedure was followed the inquiring dealer would be placed in the same position as I that coal had been listed in the current Price Guide, and probably it was intended or at least hoped, that following this procedure would result in as much atmiliarly of price as I that coal had been listed in the current Price Guide.

A further evidence of the role of the Exchange as a clearing house for price information is found in a circular issued by the Exchange dated April 15, 1947, at the time of the removal of wartime price control. One sentence of this circular reads;

"Should you be in doubt as to mine prices or price structure, at any time, kindly 'phone this office,"

(Exhibit 789)

(i...) charges for deliveries in 50 lb bags and for carrying coal into basements

for delivery of domestic coal in 100 ib bags, and delivery in smaller bags obviously involves higher labour costs for bagging and probably higher costs for the bags themselves

direct interest in this matter is found in a Price List dated November 1, 1939, shortly after the outbreak of the war. This List contained the notice?

"No charge for ordinary sacking, 50¢ per ton charge for stoker coal in 50 pound paper or _ate bage,"

(Exhibit 1714)

The Drive Lies Gorden have never shown any extra charge

A Price List stated August 22, of the next year contained a similar notice event that the price was increased from 56 to 754 per ton (Exhibit 1716). This revel was maintained in the Price Lists outs. May of 1947, at which clase the Keneutre decided to increase the charge for deliverages in 50 lb. hage to \$2.00 per ton. At the same time an outsite charge of \$3.00 per ton was decided upon for 10 to lbag decivery it it was required that the coal be carried into the purchaser's base-mant (Exhibit 4210).

These charges remained unchanged in the Price Lists down

(iv) subsidiary pricing arrangements in connection with delivery of steam coal

The Exchange also took some interest in special circumstances which might affect the price of steam coil. One example is the companied by the properties of steam coil in sucks, steam coal bring sorroully delivered in bulk. In the Beam Price Guide dated Angust 22, 1940, we find the following noise: "90 cents per tot charge for seating steam Geal" (Eshibit 1717). This charge like a been aboven in the Price Guides usered since that date and since 1941 a strailer charge of 560 per to make been included for wheeling flexam

The Exchange and the dealers have always recognized that where coal is delivered by railway carload directly to the customer's building, the dealer has gractically no handling costs. This is shown by the fact that from as early as August 1934, the Steam Price Guidos

have contained the following notice.

"Where R R. track runs direct to building and no bauling to necessary, add 25 cents per ton to your F O B cost "

(Exhibit 296)

The same notice appears in the Steam Price Guide issued in 1953 immediately prior to the beginning of this inquiry (Exhibit 528).

It would appear that much of the carload business is handled by wholesan coal dearer atther than by retailars. The minute book shows that discussions were head between representatives of the Earlang and wholesaurers in 1954 and again in 1957, with a view of the carboad business. There is no evidence concerning the saccess or otherwise of these efforts.

(v) zone charges

In 1947 a committee of the Exchange was appointed to deal with the question of charges for our of time of chivers and to defin a plan of charges for our of time of chivers and to defin a plan of charges for presentation to the members. As the Manager had stated that a good deal of conditions constelled and variable rates were being charged, it would uppear that the purpose of the committee was to see if uniform some charges could be agreed upon. However, the committee reported spaint fromp up sear a charge for deliverate out-that his Critaria Williamps areas. The rateon gives for this

"the already high cost of coal and the fact that it would be impossible to set up a plan which would be acceptable to all dealers because of the undespread locations of vards "

(Exhibit 4350)

There is no indication that the Exchange either then or later ever came to a decision to set up gone charges.

(vi) discount for "pickus"buyers

Gustomers who pick up coal at the dealer's yard relieve the dealer of much in the way of delivery costs. It is, therefore, not surprising to learn this come discounts far given to such purchasers. Through the medium of the Exchange some altempts have been made to arrive at a sufform discount.

At the beginning of 1952 it would appear that the situation was not considered to be entirely satisfactory. Under date of January 14 of that year, Mr. Vidler of Winnipeg Supply wrote to Mr. Brett, his letter containing in part the following:

"Another point which might be put on the agenda for our meeting, there assens to be quite a variation in puls-top prices allowed to farmers and other types of castomers calling at dealers! yards. I was always under the impression that this was \$1.00 off per ton but there essens to be quite a variation to this now. Perhaps we should have a discussion on this, and we may even feel that what \$1.00 off was situationty's few years ago,

(Exhabit 5237)

The agenda for an Executive meeting called for March 5, 1952, contains the following item:

"3. Yard pick upa

Dealer pick ups

What allowance should be made"

(Exhibit 4667)

entry:

Mr Brett's notes taken at the same meeting contain this

(Exhibit 4666)

When questioned concerning these exhibits Mr. Detet stated that he did not remember sectiving fields by 2377, but that pack-up charges were discussed at the meetings at different times. He did not think there had ever been a uniform arrangement concerning these charges. He further stated that the entry shown is fishbot 4666 meant that the matter was discussed at the meeting and that fan paperment of the concerning the entry of the concerning the contribution of the contribution of

While it may be that no agreement was reached at the meeting just referred to, it seems that the practice of allowing as \$1.00 per son discount to pictup customers was pretty wisely following as that them. This appears from copies of equations desire in Custors of the time. This appears from copies of equations desire in Custors contract in supply \$50 tons of coal to the City of Winnipeg Engineering pagarantees. Allow of the ten dealers gooded a delivered page and also a price \$3.00 less of the coal was groked up by City of Winnipeg center. The tenth dealer showed only a 75 differential page and an expert of the coal was groked and the coal was g

Observance of Price Guides

From the evidence of a number of dealer witnesses is has been definitely estabashed that the prices in the Domestic Perice List Caide have been very generally followed by a great majority of the dealers in the Greater Winnings area. Domestic coal old at off-ist prices has formed a very small percentage of the total sales of domestic coal.

Occasionally, due to special circumstances, decounts have been allowed from the Domestic Last Price as for example, rythese the property of th

Another example of infrequent deviation from the Domestic Price Guide is found in concresions in pr.ce given to small establishments, such as boarding noises and small apartment blocks, which use more coal than ordinary domestic customers, but which do not fall within the definition of steam accounts.

With regard to steam coal, the evidence of many dealers examined during the inquiry establishes that, while the prices in the Steam Coal Price Guide were generally observed, divergence from Steam Guide Price Lists has been a matter of much more frequent occurrence than in the case of the Domestic Guide prices. One important case of variation from the Steam Price Guide is that of contracts let by tender which will be dealt with separately in the next chapter of this report. Many other instances in which discounts from the prices shown in the Steam Price Guide have been granted appear to have been given in accordance with arrangements. If not agreements existing among the dealers, such as the discounts allowed to certain classifications of regular year-round users. However, when allowance is made for all cases of this sort there still remain a good many instunces in which discounts were given for a special reason. for evernale. In dissuade the customer from burder in carload lote (presumably from the mise owner or a wholesale roal dealer), to hold a good customer who may have been offered a discount by another deler: Or perhaps where particular ease or convenience of delivery reduced the dealer's handling cost.

That the prices in the Domestic and the Steam Guides were generally observed by the dealers is also indicated in letters written by the Manager of the Exchange of which the following are two examples

In a letter dated May 25, 1948, the Manager wrote to the

Manager of the Greater Vancouver Retail Fuel Dealers Association in part as follows:

"Generally, the dealers charge the prices which we suggest, as they know they are based on accurate studies and comparisons, but we make no attempt to enforce prices. Usually the dealers who sell at low prices do not last long, or get into financial difficulture.

(Exhibit 4377)

In another letter dated July 24, 1951, to the Dominion Coal Board, Mr. Brett stated in part:

"In the main, though, I believe that most of the dealers follow these guides as they are well aware that the formula worked out is based on dealer statements supplemented by studies made by a good firm of Chartered Accountants."

(Exhibit 4603)

With respect to sufficient on the prices listed in the Price Guide, it has a reacy been pointed out at the legislance of this chapter, that no a number of occasions since 1947, the Erchapt took pulsa to point out the daa-are that the prices shown at the Price Guide were not compulsory. These circulars emphasized that the dealers were first to follow the Price Guides or not, as they wisned (Exhibits 749, 439-40 and 464 in addition to Exhibits 4787-88 attendy referred to

With only one or two exceptions all the dealers examined in the inquiry stated that they did not consider themselves bound in any way to follow the prices shown in the Ginden. One exception for which there is no supporting evidence was that of a small dealer who stated that he felt the Exchange was in some way anxed with the Covernment and that he had to follow the prices set out in the Guides. Another small dealer testified that during the 1951-52 season he advertised Souris coal at a price 50d below the price listed in the current Quide and that he was told on the telephone by someone he identified as Mr. Brett to withdraw his advertisement "or else". He recarded this as a threat to have supply cut off, so he withdrew his advertisement (Transcript of Evidence, pp. 2247-48). The Commission feels that no mercht can be given to thus evidence. It should also be noted that Mr. Bratt denied that he had ever snoken to this next cular dealer (Transcript of Evidence, n. 2504). There is no other evidence of any attempts since the war to compel compliance with the prices listed in the Buine Coude

CHAPTER VI

CONTRACTS FOR COAL LET BY TENDER

In the opinion of the Commission the matter of con, contractal let by tenders of a sufficient inspiration in this inquiry to warrant treatment in a suphrate chapter. In the first place contracts let by tender have been cleak with, an enterchy distortion, fashion from other recast sales, and to the accordaplace at that been strongly surged upon the Commission that whatever was done with respect to contracts let by tender was in no benefit of the contract of the conloring that the contract of th

For many years it has been the regular practice of certain large coal consumers in the Winnibeg area to purchase their coal requirements after calling for tenders for the supply of a particular building. This applies, for example, to federal and previncial severament buildings. Accol buildings and bomblas.

In most cases where tenders are called for large quantities of coal are involved. The method of its delivery makes possible a coosiderable saving in handling expenses. For this reason some dealers have been ready to quote on these contracts lower prices than those contained in the Price Guide (Transcript of Evidence, pp. 1714 and 1717-18).

From both documentary and oral evidence it appears that since 1946 coal so enteries has amounted to less than 10% that total amount of coal sold amountly in the Winnings area (Eschibit 491). Transcript of Evidence, p 81%, in 1974, the and year price to this inquiry, about 723,000 tons of coal were sold at retail in Winnings, or 100,000 tons of coal were sold at retail in Winnings, or 100,000 tons of coal were sold at retail in the convenient test than 100,000 tons of the convenient t

Not all the dealers in Winnipog have been able or willing to handle this large-scale contract business. It has been attractive chiefly to the larger dealers who possess cost-saving symment for loading and unloading and who have substantial storage facilities. There are, however, some farry small contracts which are let by tender and in these contracts some of the smaller dealers have been interested.

In recent years out of the 68 dealers with which we are concerned in this inquiry, a small group, varying from 10 in 1946 to 22 in 1952, have been consistently able to obtain aimost all of the tender business. In 1952 the 22 dealers included in this group were the following:

Adams Beverley Canital Fort Rouse Hashora Haratone Trying Tankson Jubiles Mr Carrie Miller Manage North land Rad Dunar Syrok-n Surnal Turk Haran Woodest

Winnings Supply
Wolfman

Cone perhaps significant reason folt the growth in the number
of dealers interested in the contract business is found in a memorandum
prepared in 1951 by Mr. Britt. in which he stated do

". . .

What we should decide here today as whether we want to retain a reasonable margin of profit for the Dealers handling those contracts or whether it would be better to leave the bidding entirely on an one base.

To arrive at a decision or a basis for discussion, it would seem obscassion for sevice benefit of his steps of business. Tenders of this instear have been submitted to few bealers for a preason many years. In the hegining only a few of the Dealers were increased in this class of business, possibly Prom the begining, attempts law been made by the Dealers for a predict margin the contract of the dealers of the predict margin in the contract of the dealers of the predict margin which would not the Dealers a fair retrieval out the same time would got the large buyer of coal a special price. It was a surrangement of the nature A few of the Dealers sould work.

very bard to establish satisfactory profit margins and when that point was reached, it was natural that the business would be attractive to others. Thus the controlling group would quite suddenly find all times work had gone for nothing because of a low big submitted by a Dealer or Dealers."

(Exh.bit 4729)

R has been clearly established by evidence that at least since 1946 the intermeter of this group, varying, as we have seen, from 0 in 1946 to 22 in 1993, nave operated an allocation exheme such that an intermed to extrus between them practice, and the section that the statement about the made. Red River was still a member to the group in 1931, but doning the 1931-25 beaming assents this form withdrew from the Exchange slong-three and 4th on belong to this group of 1934, 2931 and 1934. The still yet 7 memory at 6 this direct, yet

In this report this group of dealers wil, sometime be referred to as the "tender group".

While it appears that some arrangements oil easist regarding leader contracts prior to '94e, Mr. Beet itselfied that at the time when he left the Exchange in 1946 the allocation scheme mentioned above was not in effect, but that when he returned in 1946 this allocation scheme was already operating (Transcript of Evidence, pp. 622-31).

The evidence shows that the allocation scheme operated in the following manner. In the spring, before customers becan calling for their yearly tenders, a meeting of the "tender group" took place for the number of allocating to each member of the except a certain number of tone from the anticipated tonnan of contract business for the ensuing heating season. The basis of allocation was to take the total retail coal sales of members of this group for the previous year and to allot to each member of the group the same percentage of the anticipated tender business as he had enjoyed of the total coal sales of the members of this group during the previous year. Mr Brett would the members of this group ouring the previous year. Mr. Brett would then prepare a statement of the proposed allocations and send a copy of it to each member of the group. Subsequently, probably when tenders had been called for one or more contracts. Mr. Brett would call another meeting of the group for the purpose of deciding which dealer or dealers would handle particular contracts within his or their prayionally allocated ovoto. This meeting might wall be attended only by those dealers who were interested in the narticular contracts under discussion.

Following this meeting the dealer to whom a particular contract was allocated would compute the price at which he intended to

quote and would telephone Mr. Brett informing him of the price he proposed to put to his tender. Mr. Brett would then commence this price to other dealers who were interested in this particular, contract.. These dealers were then expected either not to quote at al., or to quote prices higher than that of the dealer who was to be favoured with this contract.

If this arrangement had been unaversally honoured by the dealers in the group had ever entered tenders, the result would have been that the dealer to when the three contracts deep and the contract had been allocated would almost always have obtained the contracts. However, on some occasions it appears that one or more contract. However, on some occasions it appears that one or more had not been allocated under the contract. However, on some other allocations of the allocation andems.

Mr. Brett agit a reverse of the tenders actually awarded for the purpose of seeing low-closely low-correspondite to the third purpose of seeing low-closely low-correspondite to the third to individual-closelers who might and questions concerning the contextres. He would less circulates not members of the "Brette proper (seeally a fuguest or deportment) a resumed of the position, showing iteratily a fuguest or deportment a resumed of the position, showing meaning the context of the position of the position

"I attach a list showing the percentage of allotments due each dealer on the contract beamers, the actual allotment in tons, the amount received to date, and the balance due each dealer. So as to avoid controversy, I have placed these figures on a conservative basis. I am satisfied that at the year end, the seasified lishey where allotments than this absent nectracy."

(Exhabit 4961)

Mr. Brett textice that the Coal Exchange had anothing to with the centract horsess or the Arctivate of the textine are of with the centract horses are the Arctivate of the textine are prop-(Francetge of Evidence, p. 486). He further textified that to his capacity as Manager of the Coal Exchange he had no forestion with respect to steam contract busaness and that what he did in connection with the tender grows adone in this greener appear of Francescript of "perturn of recorder" of the meetings of the tender group, although he did make noise of the masters allowed Citracetrys of Cristence, p. 649). His evidence in this connection is supported by a memorandum vertice by Air. There in 1519 [gast of odds the was quick above from consumption, but presentably for the members of the tender group only. Mr. Dest stated in parts: "There a is installed age held by some of the Dealers that the particular activity a handled by the Goal Exchange. This is not time case as it would not be proper for the Exchange as an Agastractive to correct any court of opprises. The only acted as a cert of referee and have height on the organizary and only a proper may for information. It is a poly which I do not this data I have said repeatedly. I do not think that I haveled be engaged and a proper may for information. It is a poly which I do not the data I have said repeatedly. I do not think that I haveled be engaged repeatedly in the court of the cour

(Exhibits 4731 32)

As against this evidence is should be noted that there is nothing on the record to ordinate that "B, frest retracted anymony are not ordinate that "B, frest retracted anymony were members of the Goal Exchange, for the work which he do in connection with the allocation exceens "Form het, Bereit's notes of matters this axis of a time mestage of the reading group, he inder said matter this axis of a time mestage of the reading group, he inder said mestage fields and the said of the reading group, he inder said by the Bereit to members of the reading group, using death of the part of the said of the sai

Consideration of the foregoing evidence has led the Commission to the conclusion that there was some overlapping of function on the part of Mr. Brett, but that the evidence does not prove that the allocation scheme was carried on as a function of the Exchange.

Success of the Allocation Scheme

The success or failure of such a scheme as that we have described above depends upon a number of factors, for example, whether any means of seforcing compliance with the arrangement existed or were used, the extent to which the members of the group adhered to the arrangement and the extent to which the contract business such to group adhered to the arrangement and the extent to which other dealers outside the group sought to obtain a share of the contract business.

With respect to enforcement, there is no evidence of any attempt being made to enforce compliance by any dealer with the

arrangement On the contrary all the evidence before the Gommission indicates that there were no means of enforcing compliance with the allocation scheme and that no attempts were ever made in this direction. On this score it seems to have depended on what may be called a gentleman's agreement!

Nor was the group an exclasive one. Between 1946 and 1952 one or more members appear to have been added to the group of the property of the pr

Interference with the working of the allocation scheme arlains from buts made by dealers outside the group appears to have been a factor which required consideration each year. From the record it seems apparent that the group poucy was to bring into its membership outside dealers who had shown their interest in this class of business by budding for and obtaining contracts on tender. This was done of course for the purpose of securing their so-operation with the group. The enlargement of the group seems to have arisen largely from this cause. For example we find that three dealers, namely Swall. Adams and Miller, who had not been mentioned in the original allocation for the year 1946-47, did in fact obtain 15, 301 tone out of the total of 51.396 tone, which the documents show were awarded during that season. In the following year 1947 48, two of these dealers, namely Swail and Miller, were included in the allocations made by the group. During that year Adams and Red River were not members of the tender group, but obtained a sub-contract. In the next year 1948-49. Adams and Red River were included in the group and were given certain allocations of contract business. Subsequently in that year, and in later years, other dealers were added to the group and oven allocations. There is no syidence to show whether these firms had hid for or obtained contracts prior to being included within the group, but they had undoubtedly become interested in this type of bustones

In so far as compliance with the scheme by dealers who were currently members of the group is concerned, he arrangement did not abways have amonts sailing. One cause of difficulty was that the total tonage for which contracts were actually called during the year, or the amount called for by one customer, might prove to be less than had been estimated by the group at the beginning of the season. The group endeavoured to overcome this difficulty by hobbing out of the original allocation a certain amount of the expected tomage. A

second difficulty was that an estable dealer might belt for and obtain a contract which hed were allocated to a member of the group, thereby openting the arrangement to some enter. A third difficulty, which a member of the group maybe concern, was that adequer, which a member of the group maybe disregard the gentleman's agreement and underted in order to may make he manage a byond what table been allocated to him. Thus, of course, could only be done at the expense of some other member or members of the arrange.

A number of matances of independent holding of this type ave recorded in the evidence. In part such action may have arisen from the fact that some dealers were desertisfied with the allocation made to them . It would seem from Mr. Brett's evidence that very few of the members of the group were in fact dissetisfied with their allocation When nuestioned concerning one sentence in a memorandum of his (Exhibit 2340): "Red River Co. on and Alex Turk to be Isken care of to avoid trouble " He answered "Well they are the only two, among a great many dealers, who were continuously durant, afted with the allocation" (Transcript of Evidence, p. 826) A minute later Mr. Breit stated that he would not include Red River Co-on as one who kept outting in tenders and upsetting the balance which had been made by the group for the allocation of business With respect to Turk he stated. "I think Turk has been independent of the idea at times, you know!! (Transcript of Evidence, p. \$27). His evidence then proceeded:

- "Q And he has not co-operated with the group in this allocation?
- A. Not to any great extent, no
- Q. So that that is the reason wby you suggest that he be given some business - so that he would co-operate?
 - A. I would hope so.
- Q. You would hope that he would co-operate?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And did he?
- A At times, yes "

(Transcript of Evadence, p.827)

It may be noted that Mr. Brett further stated that Turk had never attended a meeting of the group that he could remember. There is no evidence from any other source that Mr. Turk ever attended such a meeting. While the foregoing evidence of Mr Brett would suggest that Turk was the least to operative member of the group, it would seam that the dealer who occasioned the greatest amount of difficulty was Swail The experience with Swail seems to have been as follows:

In the year 1966, 47 when Swail was not a member of the group, it would spear from Exhibit 2200 that he obtained contract business on tender in the amount of 12, 150 tons in all. By the year 1947-48 Swall had become a member of the group From Exhibits 2956-57 it would annear that he was allocated a total of 4 000 zone and from Exhibits 2209 and 2210 it would appear that he actually received 4.000 tone during that season For the year 1948-49 Exhibite 2188-89, bearing the handwritten date "Mar 16/48", and found in the files of Jackson, give what appears to be a preliminary allocation for the members of the group for the ensuing year, showing the actual contracts or portions of contracts which it was apparently successed each member should obtain. These documents show Swall being allocated a total of 4,000 tons Subsequently some other firms were taken into the group and it would seem that the allocation among the mambate was revised. A document bearing the hardwritten date July 27/48 (Exhibit 2185), when found in the files of Jackson, contains a list of the members of the group, the percentage which each enjoyed of the total coal sales of the members, the number of tons of contract coal to which each dealer was entitled by reason of his percentage. the amount allocated to each mamber to date and the balance still to be obtained by each dealer. Or in some cases the surplus already allocated. In the case of Swarl this document shows him as being entitled to 946 tone but as having already been allocated 3, 400 tone and as having secured up to that date a surplus of 2.454 tone. There te no evidence as to the final results for the season 1948-49 For the were 1949-50 a decument also found in the files of Jackson (Exhibit 22121 and handed "Dealer Status . July 7/49" shows that Swall had an original allotment for that year of \$77 tone and that by July 7 he had received 3, 500 tons. For this year also there is no evidence which shows what the final results for the year 1949-50 were For the year 1950-51 a very similar document to that just referred to (Exhibit 49621 found to the files of Winnings Supply and bearing the date July 7. 1950 shows Swall as having been allotted 900 tons for that year. but as having already varietyed 6,000 tons

It seems clear from the figures for the three years last reflered to take flow has an existing with the percentage of controct business to which he was satisfied under the terms of the strengement of the control of the control of the control of the control of the reference is made to "a deal made with feath". When questioned as to what was meant by this expression the Fourt explained that feet is what was made that the supersion the Fourt explained that feet is additional ionage in order to excure his co-spectation. He added that and feat has been determined fruitly accompanion to the sate of the sate o that year, though he might have secured additional renders later on in the assum (Transcript of Evodence, pp. 822-33). Alter revisions of the quotas dated August 2, 1950, based on a nomewhat larger total contract tomage for the year than had been antitigated when the quotas were first set shows Sevan as entitled to 90s tone (Sochhott 2242), but since in appares this he do in fact reverse 6,000 tones, it is clear that Swall received on this session more than any times the amount allocation plan.

In the spring of 1931 a few of the dealers, particularly Fort Rouge and Seed, apparently decided not to shelp by allocation plan but to try to elean large tennages of contract coal.

Consequently on a locations was simile draming that approx and for x time of the coal o

"I have called a special meeting for 11 a.m. on Thursday, July 5th, to discuss soon aspects of the contract business which I think should be threathed out. Everything seems to be under control at the moment but if fair division of the tonnage is to be made and that; a what we are striving for, it will require the hely of everytons to see that thus is done to the satisfaction of each dealer. Evene try and arrive promptly

(Exhibit 2691)

For the year 1932-33 of occurrent found in the filter of Jackeon (Osbolth 2564), dated Agril 15, 1932, whove an initial allorsment to Swall for that year of 4,972 tone. A later document (Edilbit 2573), also found in the filter of Jackeon, dated May 22,1954, above Swal as being allocated 6,000 tens out of a specific contract for the R G.A F. There is no written evidence showing the final results for the year 1952-53, but the oral evidence of the desagre concerned intolucte that insuces of them received that "allowed quoties during the contract of the contract of their necessity of their discount of the contract of their necessity of their allowed quoties during

From the foregoing account there can be no doubt that Swall shawys desired and generally secured a usets untilly greater tomage of the contract coal than would have been his shaw under the terms of the allocation plan. From the length to which the other dealers went to secure his co-operation on some occasions there can likewise be no question of the importance they attacked to the contanced operation of the plan and the sacrifices they were prepared to make to ensure its maintenance.

When My Brett was questioned as to the overall success of the plan during the period since 1948 when he returned to the Exchange, he stated that he did not think there had been general satisfaction with it and that he was out to sure that during those years the members of the group had not received approximately the toppage allocated to them. He further stated that it was the only system that seemed fair and that nobody else had ever come up with an idea that was better (Transcript of Evidence, pp 698-99) On the other hand, several of the dealers in the aroun stated that the system had worked reasonably well except for the few months in 1951 when as we have seen, it broke down Whatever his views as to the success of the plan may have been Mr Brett exerted continuous efforts to make the Operation of the plan more effective. The Commission considers that If is of some significance that the aroun continued to work under the nlan down to the date of the measure, norw that and ine the difficulture that have been described. Further, when the nian broke down in 1951. it was not allowed to die, but was revived later in the year for the balance of the season and was apparently in full operation for the next week 1052-53

When a dealer received substantially less than had been allocated to him in any one season, some attempt was made to make up the loss to him during the reat year, not often with much success.

In only one year have we seen what appears to be a complete record of the final allocations made under the plan, together with a complete record of the contracts and toppage actually received by the several member dealers. This is the year 1947 46 Exhibits 2596-97 already referred to, seem to contain the final allocation of contracts among the dealers forming the tender group at that time These subibits many found in the files of Miller, and duplicates Exhibits 2190-91 were found in the files of Jackson. They show an expected contract townsee for the year of 57, 775 tone note two small contracts which were apparently being held in reserve, totalling 1.150 tone. Two further exhibits, numbers 2209 and 2210, which bear the handwritten date Jan 21/48, and which were found in the tiles of Jackson, contain what appears to be, in addition to other information, a complete record showing what contracts were actually obtained by each dealey. A caveful examination of Exhibits 2209-10 shows that the total contract tonnane awarded during the year was 56.930 tone, of which 1,635 went to Adame. Red River and Thompson, who were not members of the group in that year, and another 85 tons ment to unknown dealers

Using the foregoing exhibits, namely 2956-57, 2190-91 and 2209-10 the Director compiled a table (reproduced below), showing a comparison of the contracts allotted for the 1947-46 season to the various dealers in the group and the actual awards made for that season (Statement of Evidence, pp. 148-49).

	- 93 -	
Contract	Allotted to	Awarded to
Fort Osborne Barracks	S umkum - 650	Simkin - 650
Dominion Government: Academy Road Deer Lodge 1st	Northland - 75 Jackson · 3,700	Adams - 75 Jackson - 3,700
Girls Home	Hagborg - 45 Northland - 215	Hagborg - 45 Northland - 215
Publ.c Welfare	McCurdy - 200	McCardy - 200
Greenhauses	Already obtained by Adams	Adame - 60
Dominion Government: Public Buildings, Postal Stations, Etc	McCurdy - 340	McCurdy - 120 Hagborg - 115 Thompson - 50 Unknown - 85
Immigration Building Federal Building	Simksn 750 Northland 1,300	Adams - 750 Northland 1,300
Carpiquet Barracks	Capstal - 3,600	Capital - 3,600
M.D 10 Minto McGregor 202 Main 194 Main Married Quarters Garage Kitchen Barracks (Fort Caborne)	Sumkin - 675 Simkin - 701 Simkin - 1001 Simkin - 1001 Simkin - 1,000 Simkin - 1,400 Simkin - 1,400 Simkin - 220 Harstone - 2,500 Winnipeg Supply 6, Swall - See Note 2 Fort Rouge - 4,000	Winnipeg Supply - 5,100 Swail 1,000
Provincial Power House	Hagborg - 2,000 Harstone 1,200	Hagborg - 2,000 Harstone - 1,200

Swa1,-2,000 Later handwritten correct one on Evhibit 2957 and 2191 allotted these to Miller 2 Later handwritten corrections on Exhibit 2191 altered Winnipeg

Swall - 2,000

P

Supply's allocation to 5,100 and allotted 1,000 to Swail.

	- 94 -	
Contract	Allotted to	Awarded to
Manutoba Telephones	McCurdy - 500 Northland - 500	McCurdy = 500 Northland = 500
General Hospital	Jackson - 3,000 Winnipeg Supply - 3,000	Jackson - 3,000 Winnipeg Supply - 3,000
Winnipeg Schools	Fort Rouge - 500 Harstone - 1,500 Jackson - 1,000 McCurdy - 800 Northiand - 1,200 Swail - 2,000 ³ Winnipeg Supply - see ³	Fort Rouge - 1,500 Harstone - 500 Jackson - 1,000 McCardy - 800 Northland - 2,000 Swail - 1,000 Winnipeg Supply - 1,000
Normal School	Miller - 230	Miller - 200
Normal School	Winnipeg Supply - 4,025	Winnipeg Supply - 4,000
Municipal Hospital	Fort Rouge - 3,700	Fort Rouge - 3,700

Deey Lodge 2nd.

Normal School Anney

to the members of the group.

Miller - 150

Winnings Supply - Not Shows

Not Shown

Small Contracts Not Included in the Allocation Scheme

Some small contracts which were normally let by tender

^{3.250} 3 Later handwritten corrections on Exhibit 2191 altered Swail's allocation to 1,000 and allotted 1,000 to Winnipeg Supply.

The fleures shown in the foregoing table were not disputed by counsel for any of the parties. They indicate that with few exceptions the dealers to whom specific contracts were allocated were remarkably successful in obtaining the award of those contracts. It may be noted that Swail was one of the dealers who received exactly the amount of tonnage allocated to him, and that in subsequent years when he succeeded in obtaining substantially larger tonnage than was allocated to him, the final results may not have been so satisfactory

were into noticed the allocation plan, approximately deliberately. In the main these contracts of comparatively small tomages required by each institutions as a choose and monocipal buildings in municipalities within Greater Wandings but nesteds the cuty area. From municipalities within Greater Wandings but nested the cuty area. From the contract of the contract o

In Mr. Brett's evidence the reason for leaving these small suburban contracts out of the allocation scheme was as follows:

". In a number of these suburban areas there are dealers, llecemed dealers, who have built up their businesses through the years, and I have always suggested to the dealers over in this part of the city, for instance, that they should not interfere with the little dealers who are in business over in those outside areas I felt it was not a good thing to do."

(Transcript of Evidence, p 812)

This may be the correct explanation but in some instances the dealers din to the way to the policy so enumerated. To give one example, the 1952 contract for 400 tons for the schools in the municipality of Port Carry, which were not included in the allocations municipality of Port Carry, which were not included in the allocations that contract were also exhibited by Kagborg, Wunnipeg Bupply, Developed and the Carrier of the



CHAPTER VII

APPRAISAL OF ACTIVITIES DISCLOSED IN THE INQUIRY

The activities of the Winnipeg Coul Exchange and its members which have been under examination in this inquiry fall into two main groups, namely:

- Activities connected with the issuing and use of Price Guides or Price List Guides,
- Z Activities connected with coal contracts let by tender

As these two groups of activities are affected by differing considerations they will be deait with separately

Activities Connected With the Issuing and Use of Price Guides or Price List Guides

The early activation of the Exchange and its members from 1928 down to the institution of wartime control shaw already been described in Chapter IV. The Commission considers that its findings of fact are adequately set out in the summary near the end of that chapter, and require no special appraisal apart from the evidence in more recent years.

Price Cubies. The evidence from the period and also from the period of exacune centerly which termutate in April, 1947, concerning Price Guides is primarily of value in proving that the function of issuing these Guides has been an important and continuous activity of the Euchange since is formation in 1928 and that the purposes for which the Guides were prepared and isquad have also been conductors, save for the affect of government regulations during the wattime control period.

It was strongly urged upon the Commission that the Price Guides were themded to be guides for the dealers to assist them in establishing their own restal prices, and nothing more. They were not not an any sense intended to fix or establish retail coal prices in the area. Nor was three ever any agreement among the dealers that they would follow the prices contained in the Guides. It was argued that the grides in each new Guide were agroved only by the Price Gommittee or the Executive and not by the senseal membership and that the approval gives only amounted to approving as a fact that the figures in the Goody properly reflected the result of the study of average costs submitted by the dealers. Greculars asswed by the Exchange repeatedly delives the dealers that the Exchange do not far or enforce greatedly delivers, who were at all times free to follow them or not, set they see fur. A statement to the same effect is contained in the minutes of less received we make in the finance of the dealers.

The Commission is unable to agree that the foregoing argument gives a complete nicture of the nursues for which Price Guides were seased. The Commission is satisfied that one of the purposes for which the Guides were ussued was the stabilization of retail prices. They were assued in the hope and expectation that the prices contained in them would be accepted and followed years eggerally by the dealers. That the preparation and circulation of Price Guides were intended and expected to do more than simply to eive the dealers information which they might follow or not to obvious from events that occurred during the cost of handling survey of 1951. and for some months afterwards. It will be remembered that when this survey was begun in May, 1951, Winnipeg Supply astimated that the cost of handling domestic coal had risen by 22¢ per ton and would soon rise further. Woniner Sunnly therefore proposed that the cost of handling formula for domestic coal be increased by 254. Re proposal was not accepted at that time and Winniper Supply continued to uses the need for the 25¢ increase, with what seemed for the moment to be better success late to October. On October 29, new Price Guidas ware usuad, effective November 1, contamine price increases of 25d per ton for all domestic coals except imported stoker coals Two days later. Mr. Brett circularized the dealers suggesting that because of a few objections to the new list they contions with the old Brice List Coids Suntil these difficulties have been troud out! It will be vernembered further that Mr. Heeborg to etvine evidence said that he fall it was so inconcrupe time to nut on a 25¢ increase. He added. "They besued a wrice list suide, but I did not follow it. Consequently nobody else followed it." The November 1, 1951, Price Guide was never reinstated

In the Commission's opinion there events show quite clearly the real purpose and supercife result of the Price Coldes. It may well be argued that "Watange Shoply could not with impossive the could price and price and price and price and price by the pre too, so matter bow surpely it fill nother could price by the pre too, so matter bow surpely it fill nother any argument, however, only strengthens the Commission's wire that Watanges Stoply's continued efforts to secure approval for the Increase are a striking infection of the company's confidence that if such as increase were incorporated in the Price Code it would be such as increase were incorporated in the Price Code it would be such as increase were incorporated in the Price Code it would be such as increase were incorporated in the Price Code it would be such as the company's confidence that if

by adopting it, be placed in an untenable high price position

A second good ervise from these nexts. If the Price Goods were only totaged to give the dealers accusate information based upon careful cost utilizes, and were not intended as something to the control of the cost Nevertheer I, 1931 Price Goods (presentably the result of accusate cost rations), merely because Highorg and perhaps one or two others created to depit the increase? In the Commission's very the cancellation must mean that the dealers were intended and expected one of saids creately violated to follow one dealer creately violated to follow.

Mr Brett's reference to "a few objections" as the reason for the cancellation also indicates that the Exchange felt it was necessary to have very general agreement among the dealers before a new Price Guide was made effective.

Enhancing Prices. One of the allegations in the flatement of Evidence at that the activations and agreements connected with the preparation and distributions of the Price Guides were carried out with the object of rating the level of prices of call sold at retail in Greiter Winnings, and were named at and succeeded in establishing an artificial price structure which were the basis of pricing to homeshold

The evidence is quite clear that at the end of the parried of wartune controls (Agrel 1, 1871) the dealers expressioned by the Exchange felt that the profit meagin in the industry was uneastaticity; that a net dark the profit of the control of the control of the control of the date in Price 1 and 10 closed were proposed with the purpose of producing that result; the prices in the Glodes succlosing the control the cont at the mine, freight, allowances for loss of weight and degradation, average desire blanding costs as worsed out by cost studies, and in which resulted in a sprofit flopes of 45 of the retails price 1 are

Il was contended on behalf of the Enchange that increases to the prices contand in the Price Golden merely reflected increases in must prices, or in freight, or store out of cost studies showing corresponding increases a the average delice handing costs or in losses from loss of weight or degradation, or from the application of the \$\$ print interprise to the resulting largest total celace cross it. was objective of a print equal to \$\$ 4.00 to \$\$ 1.00 to \$\$ 1

Whatever success or failure may have attended efforts to produce for the dealers a net profix equal to 4% of their total selling price of coal, these efforts were unnestroably directed towards increasing failer profits. This objective could be sought either by a reduction in federate cost resulting frozen improved neutrides or increased efficiency, or by an increase in selling price. The Exchange did argue upon the dealers the receasity of reducing costs and it endeavoursel to cut down had account lease by senting to the Carlon of the Carlon on overdence either of prices being reduced or of a proposed price to travease being repected because of reduced costs as range from improve cost leading mentions or the Carlon of the Carlon of

Price changes during this period, as shown by the Price Guiters, were about towards by posed. What was the states of automatically reflected by corresponding increases in the retain profession of the corresponding increases in the retain price with increases, of corresponding increases in the retain price with increases, of corresponding increases in the retain price of the corresponding increases in the retain price with increases, or in the corresponding increases in the retain price with the change directed is that distinction, these being mattered for which the changes were not unused society control. In addition, the internal control of the control of the change directed is the distinction, these being mattered for which the changes were not unused society for control in addition, the internal control of the control of the changes were not unused society for Calician, moreoted in an increase in price, since the flutty Woodstry respect of 1946 showed that in 1945 the delates where excellent were executed by this trim had in the financial control of the control of th

With respect to the cost of handling surveys the Commission a nation that the Euchange made a conscientious effort to executin the average costs of reasonably afficient dealers as shown by their experience in the preceding year. Morever, the available evidence suggests that in some of these surveys cost data were obtained from too few dealers for the future to be very valiable.

The actual results, pricewise, of the surveys may be summarized briefly, as follows:

December 1947 Survey

This survey led to increases in the domestic cost of handling formulae of 58f per ton for all coals except Souris and 49f for Souris, which formulae were incorporated in new Price Goldes effective March 1, 1948.

November 1949 Survey

This survey apparently showed an increase in overall handling costs of 24s per ton. However, no general price increase followed, the only increase being that the cost of handling formula for

Source coal was brought up to that for other coals, both domestic and steam. Why a general increase was not made at this time has not been explained.

August 1950 Survey

This servey led to an increase in the cost of handling formula of 30 ff of ofments could and 25 ff or starm coals. The evidence available to the Communistics concerning this survey may be incomplete and in not atlanfactory. The cost figures for only four dealers have been found. For two of these dealers the cost figures for the previous years are alse however and for both of them the figures for 1950 are lower than in 1949. Yet the foregoing increases were decreased.

May 1951 Survey

The Commission has no exact information as to the average cost of harding figure weeked out as a result of this survey, though figures for some 13 dealars are known in a circular detect though figures for some 13 dealars are known in a circular detect though figures for some 13 dealars are known in a circular detect the cost of handing cost and the cost of handing cost and the cost of handing formula was adopted at the time, though 5 was added to cover the cost of a just adversing a temperature for the cost of a just adversing a temperature for the cost of a just adversing a temperature for the cost of a just adversing a proper first formula and the cost of a just adversing a proper first for the cost of a just adversing a proper first formula and the cost of a just a deal with the cost of a first f

May 1952 Survey

This survey led to mcreases effective August 1, 1925, in the cost of handling formulae over those adopted in 1950, the increase for domestic coal being 55f per ion and for steam coal 40f per ton. These charges were 106 haghes for domestic coal and 58 higher for steam coal than indicated by the average dealer costs obtained from the survey. No explanation for the difference has been given to the

With respect to less of weight and degradation allowances the Exchange did not make regular periodic surveys. he efforts to have these allowances correspond with average dealer experience were not mitterly thereogls. This is indicated by the fact that when Mr. Brett mylitated how he had discovered that these allowances for high, and but them adjusted in the Preto Culie. he also satisfied that he thought the higher allowances had been in effect for some years, apparently undiscovered.

Some of the increases in allowances for loss of weight and degradation were arbitrary. This is true of the 5g per ton increase made on Avenut 1, 1951, to cover the cost of an advertising company which according to Exhibit 1458 was to cost 14 per ton, and which was continued in all subsequent Price Guides. It is also true of a 25¢ in crease authorized by an Executive meeting on December 5, 1951. effective December 15, on certain 'coals that are increasing!' It may he that this 254 was intended to cover increasing handling costs for those particular coals, but the 25¢ seems to have been added arbitrarily to the loss of weight and degradation allowances. When the cost of handling formulae were revised prior to the new Price Guides of August 1. 1952, the loss of weight and degradation allowances for a few of these coals were reduced slightly, but the allowances for many additional coals were increased, the effect being to nut all of them on a par with Drumbeller, which was one of the coals given the 25¢ increase in the previous December

As the dealers' actual and estimated costs rose, for mine price, fraight, cost of handling, loss of weight and degradation, the amount included in the retail selling grice to cover the 4% profit margin was also adjusted upwards, though it should be noted that the price book figures indicate that in many instances this adjustment was not made memority.

The Communism does not accept the proposition that the people engaged in an industry are justified in working out their average costs and establishing uniform prices for their product bard upon these costs place a certain margin of profit. Both is appeared with the costs of the certain control of profit. Both is appeared to the most efficient operators and would greatly teasen the incentive of dealers to be east-ching continually for ways to improve the conduct of their business which is present when dealers have to meet the competition of enterprising rivation on price basis. This diagnee becomes the conduct of their business which is present when dealers have to meet the competition of enterprising rivation on price basis. This diagnee becomes We have seen that there were some arbitrary increases in the allowance for less of weight and dispractions. Further, which the evidence is not conclusive, it may well be that there was an arbitrary element in a least some of the increases in a fine cast of landing formulae. 4, 2, 1

Bearing in must that the prices shown in the Price Guides were very generally adopted by the dealers it is evident that the use of the Guides resulted in increased prices to consumers. Undoubtedly increases would have occurred if there had been no Euchange and no increases, and the contract of the same of the contract of fermity, at the same time, or in the same amounts as happened in the circumstance disclosed in this impuly.

Support for the view expressed in the last preceding paragraph is found in two circulars issued by Mr. Brett in 1951. The first has been referred to earlier in this report. It is dated May 22.

1951, and contains the following statement:

", We are, at present commonstring another study of handling and delivering costs with a view to uncreasing our gross profit margin. Such studies carried out last year brought to the Winnings desers an uncreased prefit of approximately \$100,000.00 and of rocers, past for Cost Euchange assessour studies for this year with laws a similar result."

The second is dated November 7, 1951. In at Mr Brett suggested.

", that we reflect for a moment upon the contribution the Coa. Eachange has made to our members un the past ten years. In 1941 our average gross profit on domestic sales of .mported and western coals was \$3.40, on liquite \$2.65. Since that time we have delivered over a x million tops of domestic coal. The total gross profit .ncreases in the ten year period amounts to everyal million dollars."

The figures in these two circulars are probably exaggerated and allowance should be made for the nature) hims of a man spashing about the work in which he is engaged. Nevertheless, after in the opinion of the man who, except for two years from 1946 to 1948, was the Exchange imager during the whole of the period mentioned, the delizer's margine had been subsensately uncreased through the

Council at the hearing argued that the Exchange and its members were not in a position to council the retail under inc coal in the Greater Winnipes area, size that they had done nothing and could do nothing which was or was likey; to be detrimental to the public. In support of this argument a number of subtists in the form of statistical instrumation were filled and oral evidence was given, thefully by Douglas G, Scoti, a chartered accountant, and Gilbert Edward Jackson, a consulting excompanis.

In the first place it was contended that no attempt had been made to enforce observance by the dealers of the prices set out in the Price Late Guides The Commission agrees that no evidence having probative value has been presented to it of any attempt at enforcement since the use-ware negled.

It was further contended that no attempt at enforcement of Price Guide prices could be made successfully, since neither the dealers nor the Exchange controlled either the supply of coal or entry into the trade. There is no evidence of any such control nor is there any evidence of any arranement between the dealers or the Exchange. on the one hand and mine owners or wholesalers on the other for co-operation in controlling supplies.

In the Commission's view while the missence of enforcement measures would be wedners strongly adverse to the Exchange and the dealers who supported them, their absence is not conclusive evidence in their favour. Restrictive arrangements have often been found to work effectively without penalties or other enforcement provisions

In the second piace counsel contended that in this industry there were no restrictions on entry into the basinese, extensivy none in the Winnings area. Then, under the Winnings Paul By-Law, No in the Winnings of the Winnin

In the third place it was argued that the increasing competition in recent years from fuel oil, competition over which the Exchange and the coal dealers had no control, made it impossible for prices to be enhanced or other restrictive practices invoked in a fashion detrimental to the public interest. It is suite clear from several exhibits that in all the larger centres in Canada, except perhaps in one or two cities where natural see was readily available. fuel oil was making greatly and even rapid at he more the domain of coal as a heating medium, during the years from the end of the war down to the date of this inquity These exhibits indicate that in Winnings the switchover from coal to oil developed more slowly than in many other cities: e w .. Exhibit CC 2, compiled from figures obtained in the Census of Canada for 1941 and 1951, shows that while in Halifax, Montreal, Toronto, Winnipeg, Regina, Saskatoon and Vancouver the use of oil as a heating medium for dwellings had proveneed substantially during the ten years from 1941 to 1951, the increase had been much less in Winnipeg than in any of the other cities named. In Winnings in 1951 only 9% of dwellings were heated by oil. In the other cities the percentage ranged from a low of 29.1% (Vancouver) to a high of 51.1% (Halifax).

From these exhibits it was argued that the service and prices afforded by the Winnipeg coal dealers must have been reasonably satisfactory to the consumers, otherwise the tendency to switch from coal to oil would have been much more marked. The Commission considers that this statement may well be correct, but there are many unknown factors in the situation which would render any conclusions that might be drawn therefrom of doubtful value.

Whatever the rors, reserve may have been cone was certainly the practical disappearance of wood as a heating medium between 1941 to 1951), retail sales of coal in the Winnings area increased in every year from the end of the war in 1945 till 1950, in which year they reached the highest tonnage in the city's history. Sales of oil had a so been increasing since 1946, but it was only after 1950 that the competitive threat of oil began to have a serious effect upon the coa, business. In the three years from 1950 to 1955 coal sales in the Winniums area dronned from 897,886 tons to 640,902 tons. or if we take the 1950 figure as 100% they dropped to 70.3% in 1953 (Exhibit CC 1) White witnesses stated some of this decrease might be attributed to malder wanters they asserted that most of it was due to the increasing consumption of all it seems reasonable to assume that not only in this latter statement true but that the trend to oil has probably continues since the date of the hear ne. The creater convontence and c.sandness of oil must be balanced by the consumer Against its higher price and the not inconsiderable cost of installation. but under conditions of several prospersts, we may reasonably expect that more householders will continue to change from coal to oil. In this connection at may be noted that the successive construction of large oil refineries in the Winnines area since the war has rendered increasing supplies of fuel oil readur available

The continuing threat of oil competition since the war and ite increasing excroschments on coal since 1950 are factors which in the mind of the Commission have greatly cuttailed the power of any organization of coal dealers to cause actual detriment to the public by agreements or arrangements and actual and actual coal statement of the coal section of the coal sec

The ease with which the retail coal trade may be entered also has a himster effect upon the yower to enhance prices. This industry, through the years, has been subject to a discrizating the prices of the prices of the prices of the prices of the training and the prices which cause the prices which cause there is not fail of the year with an unemployed track on their hands. Any presenced move in the decrease of enhancing prices would cause the coal trade to become more attractive to these temporary competitors and would price may be the prices which cause the coal trade to be come more attractive to these temporary competitors and would print the prices of the prices which cause the coal trade to

The Commission has no evidence on which to reach a conclusive opinion as to the exact deterrent effect of the foregoing factors. They may have been of sufficient strength to preclude substantial public detriment measured in terms of dollars and cents. Some support for this year is found in satisficial exhibits filled at the

hearing. For example, Exhibit CB-1, filed by Mr. Scott, shows the operating results obtained by 15 Winnings dealers in the years 1948 to 1953 inclusive The 15 firms include four large firms (one the largest of all), a number of medium sized firms and three small firms and to that extent may be recarded as representative of Winnings dealers renerally. The various tables in the Exhibit were compiled almost entirely from audited statements of the dealers' operations. prepared by chartered accountants With one exception all of the 15 dealers are uncorporated companies, and most of them appear to be family corporations managed directly by the neonle who own the stock Because of the provisions of the Income Tax Act, which impose a tax on the income of a corporation and also on the dividends received by the shareholders, this last fact may affect the expenses and earnings nicture of these dealers as shown by the Exhibit. The Exhibit gives the profit or loss position as a percentage of sales in all cases, so that it is not new helpful for determining whether or not a satisfactory profit was earned on the capital stock. Again, for several of the

With these limitations in mind the Exhibit indicates that the average net profits, before income tax, of these dealers for their fiscal years ending in the calendar years 1948 to 1953, (expressed as a percentage of sales) were as follows:

dealers certain details of information are lacking.

Year	Average Profit		
1948 (13 dealers)	2.08%		
1949 (14 dealers)	1 84%		
1950 (15 dealers)	1 94%		
1951 (15 dealers)	1.99%		
1952 (15 dealers)	.43%		
1953 (15 dealers)	2 96%		

The Exhibit further shows that one dealer, whose figures are given for the last four years only, enjoyed much better than the average profits, his figures being as follows:

1950	4.75%
1951	3 48%
1952	4.20%
1953	4.36%

Only four other dealers, including the largest dealer, showed a profit in every year. One large dealer showed losses in very year except 1948. In 1952, five of the 15 dealers showed a loss and in 1953, seven of the 15 showed a loss.

The foregoing record, as shown by this Exhibit, indicates that prices were not raised in a manner which produced large profus for the dealers. Nor does it appear that the increases

in prices which occurred during these years had the effect of holding an umbrella over the heads of inefficient firms, since the last two years of the period showed more dealers lessing money than any of the earlier years. In the years 1948 to 1951 inclusive, the number of these dealers who lost money varied from one to three.

Enhibut CC-11, filed by Mr. Gilbert E. Jacksen, compares the figures cansuated in Edubt Cell- for the years 1948 to 1951 melastre, which figures for these years given in the Taxation discattice; polithied by the Department of National Revenue, which set out the average rates of profits on gross sales earned by all retail call and ict merchants in Ganda. This Eachhai midsteath that each of those versus the purcentage rate of profit for the Wantings dealers of those versus the purcentage rate of profit for the Wantings dealers and the contains dealers. Child with force than the percentage rate for all Constant dealers.

While the figures as in these two Exhibits, CB-1 and CC-13, take no account of important subsome factors, such as the effects which might have flowed from freely operating paics competition, and must therefore be set with account, here yet deal some supports to be extended to the contract of the contr

After careful study of other evidence given and exhibite filed at the hearing the Commission is of the opinion that they do not assist us further in analyzing the use of the Price Guides and their effect upon the public interest. In brzef, our conclusions upon this phase of the inoutiry may be stated as follows:

The preparation, circulation and use of Price List Quides did not eliminate price competition entirely but did result in substantial curtailment of such competition. In principle such arrangements and activities are likely to prove detrimental to the public interest, by removing in large measure the protection afforded, by freely operating competitive factors, assinst arbitrary prices. In this case some enhancement of prices did occur. On the other hand. conditions in the industry, e.g., ease of entry as a seasonal occupation. but more canecially the definite threat of fuel oil competition since 1946 and the increasing seriousness of actual competition from fuel oil since 1950, have limited and creatly curtailed the extent to which wrices might be enhanced. The fear that higher prices might produce reactions hastening the trend to oil is evident from references appearing in the minutes of meetings and from Mr. Brettle circulars exharting the dealers to keen their operating costs down. Under these circumstances the Commission is unable to say that the aveauements and practices carried on by the Exchange and its members in

connection with the preparation, assue and use of Price List Guides have resulted in actual public detriment of a substantial nature

From a careful essentation of the evidence as a whole the Commission is studied that the dealers had no thought of establishing press which twist be regarded as necessare or which would sinker which would be regarded as necessare or which would sinker or possible to the properties of the properties of the properties of the court relates and Prize Last Golder, to keep graces undermor at or contributes and Prize Last Golder, to keep graces undermor as ampliped to active the properties of the properties of the ground that they are body to lead to public date, ment, but indeer the curvamentation facilities of the Franch deletion and effect seems

It must be borne in much, bowever, that in spite of the fact that may be oscillated as was rarely changed to the use of oil and that many others are in a position to do so or to shift to malaral gas when a theomes available, there will be makey who will continue to use their exacting meating experient and rely on coal for fael. To these boselookers to coil of coil with be an important element in their bodgets and any particles using dealers when which we have been competitive conditions necessarily operate to them disadvantage or competitive conditions necessarily operate to them disadvantage or competitive.

2 Activities Connected With Coa. Contracts Let By Tender In the ownsion of the Commission, the allocation scheme

described in Chapter '1, and operated by a group of the dealers with the assistance of Mr. Brett regulted in definite onblic detriment and was, by its very nature, almost certain to have that result. We have seen that it was a deliberate atternot to divide oractically all the retail coa, business set by tender in the Winnipeg area among the members of the aroun, the base of the durages he no that each member should receive the same negrentage of the contract business in any one year as he had secured of all the retail coal business to any one year the group in the provious year. We have seen that the first stage of the scheme involved an estimate of the total contract tonnage expected to be let by tender during the year and the amocation to each mamber of the number of tone that represented his newcenters of that tota. The second stage involved the allocation of apecific contracts or portions of contracts to the several dealer members, the sum of the contracts allotted to each being as nearly as nossible total to the tomage he was entitled to receive under the echoine. The dealer favoured in this way with a contract, submitted his tender at a price fixed by himself and notified Mr. Brett of the amount of his price. Other interested dealers were informed of his price and were expected either not to tender at all or to tender at a higher price.

Such, in brief outline, was the scheme,

To the extent that such a scheme operated successfully it could only result in defeating completely, or almost completely, the whole nurpose of calling for tenders. Tenders are designed to secure competitive bidding, and to obtain for the purchaser any price advantage which may flow from the favourable position or competitive needs or desires of competing tenderers. Where there is, in effect, only one tender, at a price fixed by the tenderer in the knowledge or at east expectation that other dealers will not underbid, the purchaser is deprived of his right to receive competitive bids, he suffers detriment by the loss of any price advantage which would result from genume competitive bids, and onless he knows the true state of affairs, he is probably deceived into thinking the bids he receives are in fact competitive.

On behalf of members of the tender group a number of avouments were advanced by counsel at the hearing. While admitting the holding of meetings and the 'modus operands' of the man, it was contaminal creasing dealer profits but was a legacy from the days of

wartime coal shortages, and was designed to make sure that government buildings and large essential institutions like hoanstale and schools would obtain adequate supplies of coal together with good service, righer than with a view to profite To thus end, allocations under the scheme were made to the dealer who was best equipped and who had the best location to undertake the particular contract.

(a) That the plan was not deviced for the ourpose of in-

- That the acheme was an allocation acheme only with no attempt being made to fix the price at which the favoured dealer should bud
- (c) That there was nothing to prevent any member of the group or any outside dealer from tendering at a lower price than the favoured dealer's price. The tenders were not restricted to members of the group, but were open to any dealer who descend to bid
- (d) That in many cases the allocation scheme failed because one or more dealers underbid the favoured dealer.
- (e) That no effort was made to get dealers to join the group, nor was any effort made to keep any dealers out.
- (f) That the practice under which the favoured dealer disclosed has tender name was designed to have or did have the effect that other dealers would be able to underbud, and often

did underbid, if they thought his price was too high. Thus the end result of the scheme was to hold prices down

(g) That the prices tendered under the scheme were in most cases below the prices shown in the current Steam Price List Guide, and were never higher

We will discuss these arguments in turn;

(a) The tender scheme, as it has existed in recent years. may have had its origin in wartime shortages and the need to ensure supplies to vital institutions, but it must be remembered that at that period maximum prices were set by sovernment resulation, and that under conditions of wartime shortage of appoints it was difficult for a dealer to bid for and take on a new large contract because the output of the mines was already largely contracted for (See evidence of Mr Robertson of Winnipeg Supply, pp. 2350 1 of Transcript of Evidence). Further, if a dealer was unable to take on new business, he would have no need for a system to deter others from bidding. The situation is very different under conditions of paratiful supply and no sovern ment control. Under conditions of scarcity a system designed to make sure that vital business is taken care of may be necessary, but under conditions of plenty a system of allocation works assured rather than in the interest of the nurchaner. With adequate supplies available and a number of dealers prepared to compete freely for the business. there is no reason to believe that public buildings and institutions would not have been well served without the intervention of anything of the nature of the allocation scheme. Size with heat coursed dealer, having the heat location with respect to making deliveries to a particular building, would be us an excellent nonition to obtain the contract for that building, without being afforded assistance from An agreement with other dealers.

The Commission is satisfied that the scheme was carried on with a view to improving the position of the dealers profitivise. There is no reason to believe otherwise. These were businessment and the scheme vice increased with the variety of the control of the dealers profitivise and the scheme vice increased with the variety of the control of the dealers of the control of the control of the control of the variety of the scheme to obtain these centrates shich would be made bettering to them. The unpresent of the variety of the

There is also the further fact that the number of dealers

who were members of the tender group increased fairly steadily from 10 in 1946 to 22 in 1952, which strongly suggests that, to increasing numbers of dealers, the tender branch of the coal business did not appear to be unprofitable.

- (b) The Commission agrees that the scheme was to assence an allecation and not a price fraing scheme. While there is evidence that in some instances a delater discreased with his Breet to with another dealer or delater also price with the might quiet, there is no mainter dealer or delater also price which he might quiet, there is no at a certain gree. In the options of the Commission this fact does not may assess restore to the public the adequated affected by open competition, which adequated were percharded by the operation of the adequated area of the public that adequated were precluded by the operation of the commission. The fact that desire who had been all tolerate in them. The fact that desire who had the sublicated a upon by others, in no way assisted the purchaser to obtain the lowest price which competitive building might have produced.
- (c) The Commission has seen no evidence that indicates that any effort was made to prevent any member of the group or any other dealer from tendering at a lower price than that of the dealer to whom a contract had been made under the scheme Further, tenders were not restricted to members of the group, nor did the group have the newer to restrict tenders to themselves. All dealers had an apportunity to learn what tenders were called for and all were free to tender However, it seems clear that the tender group comprised al, or nearly all the dealers who were interested in this type of business and that there was at least an understanding or wentleman's have sment that the dealer to whom a centern contract had been allocated should not be undercut. White instances did occur of bide being made contrary to this understanding and while the scheme broke down altogether for some months in the enring of 1951, the weight of evidence is definitely to the effect that the operation of the scheme was generally satisfactory. In the only year for which the final results of the scheme have been available to the Commission, the documents indicate that the dealer members to whom particular contracts were allocated were almost universally successful in obtaining those contracts.
- Oil. It is true that in a number of instances a member dealer on outside dealer underscut the dealer to whom a custicet that been on such as the control control of the con

- (e) There is no direct evidence that efforts were made to induce dealers to join the tender group and there is no evidence that offeres were made to prevent any dealer from joining. The evidence to however that the group was first formed of those dealers formed in number, who were interested in this class of business. It is also clear that in several instances dealers, who is one year were not members of the group but who bid for and obtained one or more contracts were included in the group the next year. Again, since the record shows that the members of the group in each year Obtained the great major to of the contract humbers, nechang 65% on the average, it seems clear that practically all who were interested in this tender business did in fact ions the ordun. It was natural that the group should seek to bring within its number any dealer who showed a desire to seek business of this type. The more nearly the mambers of the group co-worded with the total of the dealers who sought tender business the more likely the achenye was to achieve success. It should be noted further that many dealers did not have the equipment or storage facilities to enable them to undertake large
- (f) The Commission does not asses with this assument it is true that the knowledge that his bidding price would be disclosed to other interested dealers and that other dealers could hid if they so desired would deter a dealer from tendering an obviously high price Buch a price would almost invite some other dealer to underbid while still allowing him a very good margin of profit However, the fact that possible underbidding would have a moderating effect upon a favoured dealer in making in his tender does not, in the Commission's enumon, mean that the dealer disclosed his orice in order to give the other members of the group some control over it. We consider it most unlikely that a dealer would be motivated in this manner. The most difficulty that a dealer would be investment in this telester. The for which the audence affords adequate support was so that other members of the group, knowing his price, could the more readily respect his favoured position by bidding at a higher price, if at all It is also obvious that disclosure would operate reciprocally, so that the dealer who was favoured in respect of one tender would be supported to favour other deplets in respect of other tenders. With a resulting general tendency toward higher prices. While prices tendered under this scheme were probably lower than would have been the case if bidding by other dealers had been entirely excluded, the scheme removed the protection afforded to the public by free and upon competition, with the almost certain result that arices and by nurchasers were higher, at least in some instances, than could have been expected under fully competitive conditions
- (g) The evidence establishes that tender prices under the scheme were generally lower, and seldom if ever higher, than the prices contained in the current Steam Price Last Guide. A large ourchaser of coal would be almost certain to know the price on the

Rosam Leat a which non-contract transage which be supplied and the decisit would above this he would have on chance of obtaining contract the result of the property of the pr

It is not possible to determine the specific effect which the system of allocating tenders had in raising prices on this class of business, but the Commission is convinced that its tendency was clearly in the direction



CUADTED VIII

CONCLUSIONS

One thing is abundantly clear from the evidence in this inquiry, viz , that the retail coal business in the Winnipeg area, in common with other parts of Canada, has had to contend with the servous problems arraing from a contracting market brought about by the inroads made by competing fuels. Prior to the war, the history of the fuel business in Winnings was that wood was at all times a comnetitor, and sometimes a serious competitor, of coal, particularly for the requirements of certain types of dwellings. In 1941 wood Annarently accounted for about 23% of the retail fuel supplied in the area By 1946 wood had practically ceased to be a competitive factor and had almost disanneared from the field. In that year the Dominion Bureau of Statustics records, in the census for Manitoba and Saskatchewan, that out of 56, 289 orcumed dwellings in Winnipes coal ax cake was the heating medium for 50 597, wood for 1 012, and oil for 507 (Exhibit CC-3) To all intents and purposes coal appeared to be in a completely dominant position

This estudents soon thanged A trend of domestic consumers to the use of oil developed. By 1931 oil, which is 1950 and the result of the control of the control of the control of the far 9%. This trend has continued. Even with the every considerable increases included-design phrought about by some constraint, the set result, by the time of the searing in this indicate, about a three control of the control

Under these existing corconstances and fairer prospects the Commission considers is events, if the cost, desary are to have any hope of restancing a substantial source of the market in this area, and the every reflem must be made to improve shaulding methods and over-all officiency of operations. Computations from oil and gas will provide between the cost deletars, socializing price competition, will also be necessary. In the opinion of the Commission the system of Pixte Outdoor Williams and the state of the commission of the co

distribution which will produce the most heneficial results in the public interest will be made as promptly and fully as possible. In many fields of dierribution changes have been made under the rour of competition, changes which many people in the same line of trade had praviously considered impossible, their opinion being based on the previously considered impossible, their opinion being based on the ments .eading to lower distribution coats may not be achieved as readily in some fields of trade as in others, but only when competition in consisting freely can the public he assured that efforts in this direction will be most persistently made. For this reason the Commission believes that the continuance of the system of preparing and circulating Price Guides by the Winnines Coal Exchange le .ikely to operate against the public interest. We are further of the opinion that the allocation scheme for contracts let by tender should be terminated, and tendering restored to its intended and obviously proper position of free competitive hidding.

In view of the conclusions the Commission has reached on the general aspects of the inquiry, we deem; unnecessary to make an appraisal of the evidence and arguments relating to particular dealers on whose behalf it was claimed that their relationship to the Exchange and its activities was on a distinctly different feoting than that of the majority and should be concludered separately.

(Sgd.) C. R. Smith
Chairmen
(Sgd.) A. S. Whiteley
Manher

Ottawa, January 9, 1956.



GOY DOC CAI RG 53- 1956/ 11 CAMADA RESTRICTIVE TRADE PRACTICES COMMISSION REPORT CONCERNING THE RETAIL 39770509 LAW

DATE DUE SLIP

 	-	
 	-	
	_	
	-	
	<u>_</u>	
 	1	
 	+	
 	+	
 	+	
	1	
	T	
 	1	
 	+	
	+	
	- 6	

GDV DOC CA1 RG 53- 1956/ 11 Canada, Restrictive Trade Practices Commission. Report concerning the retail

39770508 LAW

Date Des Neuer Address

80V CCC CA1 RG 53- 1856/ 11 Canada, Rostrictive Trade Practices Commission. Report concerning the reteil 39770509 LAW

> DOC RG 53-56/

